

# Antenatal Care Practices with Associated Factors among Tribal Women of Bangladesh

A.N.M. Rezaul Karim<sup>1,\*</sup>, S.M. Mostafa Kamal<sup>2</sup>, Md. Rashedul Islam<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Computer Science & Engineering, International Islamic University Chittagong, Bangladesh <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Islamic University, Kushtia, Bangladesh \*Corresponding author: zakianaser@yahoo.com

Received July 03, 2018; Revised August 08, 2018; Accepted August 13, 2018

Abstract Objectives: Since the maternal health of the tribal women is a world concern, it involves our attention more to them as they are underprivileged people all over the globe. This issue sounds true in the Bangladesh perspective as well. Antenatal care (ANC) is the first flight of stairs to reach the peak of success for safe-motherhood. It represents a series of evaluations over time, which is not implemented appropriately due to different types of limitation and problems. The study aimed to delve into ANC practices and the factors associated with this practices among tribal women dwelling in the Chittagong Hill Tracts (CHT) of Bangladesh. Materials and Methods: The descriptive statistic was used to analyze frequency, percentage. Associations were evaluated through Chi-square tests, and a binary logistic regression model was used to explore factors related to the use of ANC services. This paper is based on a quantitative study. **Result**: The study exposes that one-third (29.9%) of the pregnant women wanted ANC from a trained doctor of which 13.5% of women met adequate antenatal care attendance and 16.4% initiated attendance within three months of the pregnancy. Multivariable logistic regression analyses indicate that socio-economic status, place of residence, women's education, distance to health facilities, family planning were considered as the main determinant to seek ANC. The level of significance was set at 10% level of significance. Conclusion: The findings of the study suggest that specific efforts are needed to advance socio-economic status of tribal people, increasing the educational level of women and their husbands, reducing the distance from a health center, and strengthening family planning program are needed.

Keywords: antenatal care, adequacy for ANC, CHT, delay ANC, maternal mortality, tribal women

**Cite This Article:** A.N.M. Rezaul Karim, S.M. Mostafa Kamal, and Md. Rashedul Islam, "Antenatal Care Practices with Associated Factors among Tribal Women of Bangladesh." *American Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics*, vol. 6, no. 4 (2018): 149-157. doi: 10.12691/ajams-6-4-5.

# 1. Introduction

Worldwide, nearly 830 women die every day during pregnancy and delivery complications. It was determined that 303,000 women died at the time of pregnancy and delivery. Statistics show that 99% (302000) of maternal deaths happen in developing countries, with the largest number in rural areas. Almost all of these deaths occurred in low-resource settings, and most could have been prevented [1].

Globally, maternal mortality ratio is 216 per 1,00,000 live births. As part of the global effort to challenge the death of maternal mortality, a new target aimed at sustainable development goal (SDG) 3 by UN is to reduce the mortality ratio below 70 per 1,00,000 by 2030 and the maternal mortality rate of any country cannot be twice the world average. In 2015, the proportion of maternal mortality ratio between developing countries and developed countries is 239:12 per 100,000 live births. To achieve the SDG, worldwide decreasing rates of maternal mortality will be at least 7.5 % per year. In Bangladesh,

the maternal mortality rate is 176 per 1,00,000 live births in 2015 [2].

Antenatal care is the preventive measure to trim down the rate of maternal mortality and sickness. Worldwide, 58% of women taking at least four antenatal care at the time of pregnancy. Few women (49%) of sub-Saharan Africa and 42 % women of South Asia received at least four antenatal visits respectively [2]. In Bangladesh, 64% (two-thirds) of the women sought at least one ANC services and 31% of women took four antenatal care services from professional doctors or a midwife or paramedic or community medical officer of which 46% urban and 26% rural women attended four or more ANC visits [3].

The check-up practice during pregnancy is very low observed in developing countries compared to developed countries. Many countries had less than 70% of women attending at least one pregnancy visit, for example, Afghanistan 59% [4], Ethiopia 41% [5], Nepal 68% [6]. Again, a number of countries had less than 70% of women attending at least four ANC visits, for example, Afghanistan 18% [4], Ethiopia 32% [5], Senegal 48% (DHS-2014).

In different ways the quality of antenatal care has been evaluated by the researchers. The most common way of quality antenatal care are the number of ANC visit and components of ANC services during pregnancy [7,8].

World Health Organization advises women to take ANC visits at least four times with mandatory measure of blood pressure, urine and blood tests and measures of weight and height should make at each visit during their pregnancy [9,10,11]. WHO recommends the first visit within 8 to 12 weeks after the pregnancy and the 2<sup>nd</sup> visit between 24 and 26 weeks of gestation, the 3<sup>rd</sup> visit between 32 weeks and the 4<sup>th</sup> visit between 36 and 38 weeks [10].

Due to insufficient antenatal care or delayed ANC that may occur poor results, such as; low birth weight, immaturity and prolonged delivery [12]. Time of the beginning of the Antenatal care visit is a significant pointer of adequate ANC. The studies conducted by Bbaale and Beeckman showed that the primary initiative of taking ANC makes it easier to accept sufficient number of visits, and enough services to identify the risk issues for the period of pregnancy[13]. Early antenatal care for HIV prevention works as an entry point, especially HIV prevention from the mother to the baby and ANC creates an interest in renewal and access to services [15].

Antenatal care is an important pillar for safe motherhood. Acceptance of maternal health care services in a society are mostly shaped and reshaped by personal, socio-environmental, psycho-cultural, and eco-political parameters existing in those areas. A study conducted by Kamal has revealed that health care system in Bangladesh is not homogeneous and socio-economic inequalities, deficit of knowledge regarding merits and drawbacks of consumption of maternal health care services (MHCS) and financial crisis are the major causes of a lower use of the MHCS [16].

Let us review the research done on ANC srvices in Bangladesh. Studies on antenatal service in Bangladesh reveal that, in the case of poor families, the frequency of ANC visit is less frequent. Studies have shown that mothers who are educated have more access to their antenatal care. Educated men are more willing to take their pregnant wives for treatment than illiterate men. Some studies revealed that rural mothers have fewer accesses to safe delivery as there is a lack of good hospitals in the villages. A study conducted by Islam found that one out of every nine women received antenatal care among Mru tribal community which is a small group out of 13 tribal groups living in CHT. The study showed that more than half of the respondents reported that they did not take antenatal care due to the long distance to the service center and lack of transportation facilities [17,18,19,20].

While going through the review, it has been observed that, no significant research has yet been conducted on ANC services of the tribal women of CHT of Bangladesh. Therefore, the current study was conducted to identify the socioeconomic issues concerning the use of the antenatal care services in tribal women of Bangladesh. In order to implement the new strategies to enhance the frequency of ANC visit, the research can be helpful for agencies involved in the development of maternal health.

# 2. Materials and Methods

A total of 556 married tribal women between the ages of 15 and 49, who had at least one living child whose age was not above one year were selected for the face to face interview survey. It was difficult for me to conduct the study by the Bengali language since the tribal people had distinct language. Consequently, two male and eight female tribes studying 9 to 12 classes were appointed for collecting data.

The collected data was summarized, tabulated and analyzed by the SPSS-20. Associations were evaluated through Chi-square tests, and a binary logistic regression analysis was used to discover issues related to antenatal care services.

The general model of the binary logistic regression equation used in the analysis is of the form.

$$\log_{e}\left[\frac{p}{1-p}\right] = b_{0} + b_{1}x_{1} + b_{2}x_{2} + \dots + b_{k}x_{k}$$

Where,  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ , ...,  $x_k$  are set of independent variables,  $b_0$  is constant and *b*'s are regression coefficients. This paper is based on a quantitative study. The outcome of multivariate analysis were given by the odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) for easy understanding and interpretation. For ample representation, adding an additional 50%, the estimated sample size has been calculated as (384+192=) 576. Finally 556 households were covered from 25 upazillas and 20 households was not possible due to the absence of the respondents during survey.

#### Dependent Variables

The dependent variables in this study are as follows (i) seeking ANC (ii) the time to start ANC services (iii) adequate ANC

#### Independent Variables

The demographic, socioeconomic and cultural-behavioral predictors, such as the age of the respondent, age at first marriage, family members, current age of the husband, parity/birth order (number of children), wealth index, occupation of the women, husband's occupation, exposure to media, women's education, spouse education, place of residence, distance from the nearest health care facilities, religion, tribal identity, family planning were considered as independent variables.

#### 2.1. Ethical Considerations

The study was initially approved by the Board of Advanced Studies held on 16<sup>th</sup> April, 2012 and finally approved by the Academic Council held on 16<sup>th</sup> June, 2012 at Islamic University, Kushtia, Bangladesh. These committee accepted research topic to do dissertation.

#### 2.2. Aim and Objectives

The study aimed to delve into ANC practices and the factors associated with this practices among tribal women dwelling in the Chittagong Hill Tracts (CHT) of Bangladesh.

# 3. Results

#### **3.1. Background of the Respondants**

The data of the demographic characteristics showed 80% of the participants were from rural and 20% were urban area, 43% of the participants were aged 15-24 and 49.5% were aged 25-34, 28.8% had a secondary level of education, more than half of the women's husbands (53.4%) were involved in agriculture, majority of women (94.2%) were unemployed. Only 35.8 % of the respondents live within 05 km. In terms of wealth index, 30.8% of the women belong to an upper-middle class family. Only 29.9% of the women received antenatal care while 70.1% did not receive antenatal care from anyone at all.

#### 3.2. Measurement of Antenatal Care Service

Antenatal care was evaluated on the basis of the numeral of ANC visits, the beginning of ANC visits in this study.

Table 1 shows that only 13.5 % of the studied population had visited ANC more than 3 times, whereas 70.1% had no antenatal care visits at all during pregnancy.

Variable Name	Ν	%
Adequacy for ANC		
Never visit	390	70.1
Adequate visit (4 >=)	75	13.5
Inadequate visit (1-3)	91	16.4
Total	556	100.0

Table 1. Percentage Distribution of Adequacy for ANC

Table 2 describes that only 16.4% of the women had started to receive ANC care in an early stage of pregnancy ( $\leq$ 3 months) while 13.5%, after 3 months of their pregnancies.

 Table 2. Percentage Distribution of time of the beginning of ANC

 Visit

Variable Name	Frequency (N)	Percentage (%)
Beginning of ANC Visit		
No Care	390	70.1
<=3 months	91	16.4
>3 months	75	13.5
Total	556	100.0

#### **3.3. Differentials of ANC**

Table 3 illustrates that there is no relationship between the use of ANC services and sociodemographic variables among tribal women.

In Table 3, bivariate analyses showed that the socioeconomic characteristics such as wealth index (p<0.001), occupation of the respondents (women), husband's occupation (p<0.001), distance from home to nearest health care facilities (p<0.001), education of the respondents, education of the spouses (p<0.001), place of residence (p<0.001), media exposure (p<0.001), family planning (p<0.001) were positively associated with the utilization of the ANC.

Table 4 shows that women's age and age at the time of the first marriage were concerned in getting of a sufficient number of ANC visits. In contrast, the number of family members and parity did not affect the sufficient use of ANC services.

Table 4 depicts the association between socioeconomic variables and number of ANC visits. The family property indicators were associated with full antenatal care services. Urban women were comparatively faster than rural colleagues in search of ANC. Higher educated women accepted more ANC visits than those having no formal education. The long distance of the health center is associated with very little ANC visits.

Table 5 describes the associations between socioeconomic factors cultural and behavioral factors with the time to start ANC. Each factor of socioeconomic characteristics and cultural and behavioral factors were positively associated with the time to start ANC.

Table 3. Association between variables and antenatal care seeking

Characteristics	Ν	Receive	$\chi^2$	P-Value		
Age of respondent		No	Yes	0.466	0.792	
15-24	239	164(68.6%)	75 (31.4%)			
25-34	275	196 (71.3%)	79 (28.7%)			
35+	42	30 (71.4%)	12 (28.6%)			
Age at first marriage Group				1.182	0.277	
<18	120	89 (74.2%)	31 (25.8%)			
18+	436	301 (69.0%)	135 (31.0%)			
Family members				0.671	0.413	
<5	361	249 (69.0%)	112 (31.0%)			
5+	195	141 (72.3%)	54 (27.7%)			
Parity				1.245	0.264	
One- Two	418	288 (68.9%)	130 (31.1%)			
Three +	138	102 (73.9%)	36 (26.1%)			
Current age of husband			1.028	0.598		
<25	136	93 (68.4%)	43 (31.6%)			
25-35	330	230 (69.7%)	100 (30.3%)			

Characteristics	Ν	N Received ANC			P-Value
35+	90	67 (74.4%)	23 (25.6%)		
Wealth Index		No	Yes	134.559	.000
Poor	223	209 (93.7%)	14 (6.3%)		
Middle	162	113 (69.8%)	49 (30.2%)		
Rich	171	68 (39.8%)	103 (60.2%)		
Occupation of the responden	ts (women)			48.191	.000
unemployed	524	385 (73.5%)	139 (26.5%)		
employed	32	5 (15.6%)	27 (84.4%)		
Husband's occupation	· · ·			96.700	.000
Agriculture	297	247 (83.2%)	50 (16.8%)		
Business	105	58 (55.2%)	47 (44.8%)		
Service	100	37 (37.0%)	63 (63.0%)		
Day laborer	54	48 (88.9%)	6 (11.1%)		
Maternal Facility Distance (k	xm)			89.655	.000
<5	199	92 (46.2%)	107 (53.8%)		
5-9	104	78 (75.0%)	26 (25.0%)		
10+	253	220 (87.0%)	33 (13.0%)		
Education of the respondent	s (women)		-	131.049	.000
No Education	169	151 (89.3%)	18 (10.7%)		
Primary	128	108 (84.4%)	20 (15.6%)		
Secondary	160	104 (65.0%)	56 (35.0%)		
Higher	99	27 (27.3%)	72 (72.7%)		
Education of the husband of	the respondent's			117.689	.000
No Education	76	69 (90.8%)	7 (9.2%)		
Primary	87	76 (87.4%)	11 (12.6%)		
Secondary	197	163 (82.7%)	34 (17.3%)		
Higher	196	82 (41.8%)	114 (58.2%)		
Place of Residence				85.396	.000
Rural	445	352 (79.1%)	93 (20.9%)		
Urban	111	38 (34.2%)	73 (65.8%)		
Media exposure				88.257	.000
Watching Television					
No	371	308(83.0%)	63(17.0%)		
Yes	185	82(44.3%)	103(55.7%)		
Listening to the Radio				16.586	.000
No	512	371(72.5%)	141(27.5%)		
Yes	44	19(43.2%)	25(56.8%)		
Total	556	390(70.1%)	166(29.9%)		
Religion			× /	3.380	.066
Buddhist	499	344 (68.9%)	155 (31.1%)		
Other	57	46 (80.7%)	11 (19.3%)	1	
Tribal's identity		·····//	× · · · / · /	16.017	.001
Chakma	331	212 (64.0%)	119 (36.0%)		
Marma	138	107 (77.5%)	31 (22.5%)	1	
Tripura	37	28 (75.7%)	9 (24.3%)	1	
Other	50	43 (86.0%)	7 (14.0%)	+	
Family Planning (using a mod		· · ·	, (17.070)	26.123	.000
No	303	240(79.2%)	63(20.8%)	20.123	.000
Yes	253			-	
Total	556	150(59.3%) 390(70.1%)	103(40.7%) 166(29.9%)		

Demographic Characteristics	Total	Total Number of ANC Visits			$\chi^2$	P-Value
		No visits	>3 visits	<=3 visits	+	-
Age of respondent(Years)				•	8.980	.062
15-24	239	164 (68.6%)	29 (12.1%)	46 (19.2%)		
25-34	275	196 (71.3%)	44 (16.0%)	35 (12.7%)		
35+	42	30 (71.4%)	2 (4.8%)	10 (23.8%)		
Age at first Marriage			•	·	4.784	.091
<18	120	89 (74.2%)	9 (7.5%)	22 (18.3%)		
18+	436	301 (69.0%)	66 (15.1%)	69 (15.8%)		
Family members				•	1.285	.526
<5	361	249 (69.0%)	53 (14.7%)	59 (16.3%)		
5+	195	141 (72.3%)	22 (11.3%)	32 (16.4%)		
Parity (Children Ever Born)					1.914	.384
One -Two	418	288 (68.9%)	61 (14.6%)	69 (16.5%)		
Three +	138	102 (73.9%)	14 (10.1%)	22 (15.9%)		
Wealth Index					146.224	.000
Poor	223	209 (93.7%)	4 (1.8%)	10 (4.5%)		
Middle	162	113 (69.8%)	15 (9.3%)	34 (21.0%)		
Rich	171	68 (39.8%)	56 (32.7%)	47 (27.5%)		
Education of the respondents (	women)			•	142.160	.000
Illiterate	169	151 (89.3%)	2 (1.2%)	16 (9.5%)		
Primary	128	108 (84.4%)	7 (5.5%)	13 (10.2%)		
Secondary	160	104 (65.0%)	26 (16.2%)	30 (18.8%)		
Higher	99	27 (27.3%)	40 (40.4%)	32 (32.3%)		
Education of the husband of the	e respondent's				124.274	.000
Illiterate	76	69 (90.8%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (9.2%)		
Primary	87	76 (87.4%)	4 (4.6%)	7 (8.0%)		
Secondary	197	163 (82.7%)	12 (6.1%)	22 (11.2%)		
Higher	196	82 (41.8%)	59 (30.1%)	55 (28.1%)		
Occupation of the respondents				•	58.527	.000
unemployed	524	385 (73.5%)	58 (11.1%)	81 (15.5%)		
employed	32	5 (15.6%)	17 (53.1%)	10 (31.2%)		
Husband's occupation			•	·	103.344	.000
Agriculture	297	247 (83.2%)	22 (7.4%)	28 (9.4%)		
Business	105	58 (55.2%)	19 (18.1%)	28 (26.7%)		
Service	100	37 (37.0%)	34 (34.0%)	29 (29.0%)		
Day laborer	54	48 (88.9%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (11.1%)		
Residence					97.775	.000
Rural	445	352 (79.1%)	33 (7.4%)	60 (13.5%)		
Urban	111	38 (34.2%)	42 (37.8%)	31 (27.9%)		
Watching Television	<u> </u>				100.251	.000
No	371	308 (83.0%)	18 (4.9%)	45 (12.1%)		
Yes	185	82 (44.3%)	57 (30.8%)	46 (24.9%)		
Listening to the Radio					17.556	.000
No	512	371 (72.5%)	62 (12.1%)	79 (15.4%)		
Yes	44	19 (43.2%)	13 (29.5%)	12 (27.3%)		
Maternal Facility Distance (km)	1 )		1	1	95.039	.000
<5	199	92 (46.2%)	51 (25.6%)	56 (28.1%)		
5-9	104	78 (75.0%)	16 (15.4%)	10 (9.6%)		
10+	253	220 (87.0%)	8 (3.2%)	25 (9.9%)		
Total	556	390 (70.1%)	75 (13.5%)	91 (16.4%)		

Table 5. Association between variables and the time to start ANC

Age of respondent15-2423925-3427535+42Age at First Marriage43612018+436Family members361<53615+195Parity (Children Ever Born)188One -Two418Three +138Wealth Index223Middle162Rich171Education of the respondents (women)No Education169Primary128Secondary160Higher99Education of the husband of the respondents (women)No Education76Primary87Secondary197Higher196Maternal Facility Distance (km)<51995-910410+252Inemployed524employed524Ensieness105Service100Day laborer54Media Exposure100Day laborer54Kesidence100No371Yes185Listening to the Radio512No512Yes445	No Care           164 (68.6%)           196 (71.3%)           30 (71.4%)           89 (74.2%)           301 (69.0%)           249 (69.0%)           141 (72.3%)           288 (68.9%)           102 (73.9%)           113 (69.8%)           68 (39.8%)           151 (89.3%)           108 (84.4%)           104 (65.0%)           27 (27.3%)	<=3 months 34 (14.2%) 53 (19.3%) 4 (9.5%) 13 (10.8%) 78 (17.9%) 65 (18.0%) 26 (13.3%) 74 (17.7%) 17 (12.3%) 6 (2.7%) 25 (15.4%) 60 (35.1%) 4 (2.4%)	>3 months 41 (17.2%) 26 (9.5%) 8 (19.0%) 18 (15.0%) 57 (13.1%) 47 (13.0%) 28 (14.4%) 56 (13.4%) 13.8% 8 (3.6%) 24 (14.8%) 43 (25.1%)	χ <sup>2</sup> 10.090 3.475 2.058 2.220 136.007	0.039
15-2423925-3427535+42Age at First Marriage42018+436Family members3615+195Parity (Children Ever Born)0ne -TwoOne -Two418Three +138Poor223Middle162Rich171Education of the respondents (women)No Education169Primary128Secondary160Higher99Education of the husband of the respondentsNo Education76Primary128Secondary160Higher99Education of the husband of the respondentsNo Education76Primary87Secondary197Higher196Maternal Facility Distance (km)<51995-910410+253Occupation of the respondentsunemployed524employed32Husband's Occupation51Service100Day laborer54Media Exposure100Day laborer51Yes185Eistening to the Radio512No512Yes44Residence44	196 (71.3%)           30 (71.4%)           89 (74.2%)           301 (69.0%)           249 (69.0%)           141 (72.3%)           288 (68.9%)           102 (73.9%)           113 (69.8%)           68 (39.8%)           151 (89.3%)           108 (84.4%)           104 (65.0%)           27 (27.3%)	53 (19.3%)         4 (9.5%)         13 (10.8%)         78 (17.9%)         65 (18.0%)         26 (13.3%)         74 (17.7%)         17 (12.3%)         6 (2.7%)         25 (15.4%)         60 (35.1%)	26 (9.5%) 8 (19.0%) 18 (15.0%) 57 (13.1%) 47 (13.0%) 28 (14.4%) 56 (13.4%) 13.8% 8 (3.6%) 24 (14.8%)	3.475 2.058 2.220	0.176
25-3427535+42Age at First Marriage12018+436Family members3615+195Parity (Children Ever Born)418One -Two418Three +138Poor223Middle162Rich171Education of the respondents (women)No Education169Primary128Secondary160Higher99Education of the husband of the respondentsNo Education76Primary128Secondary160Higher99Education of the husband of the respondentNo Education76Primary87Secondary197Higher196Maternal Facility Distance (km)<5	196 (71.3%)           30 (71.4%)           89 (74.2%)           301 (69.0%)           249 (69.0%)           141 (72.3%)           288 (68.9%)           102 (73.9%)           113 (69.8%)           68 (39.8%)           151 (89.3%)           108 (84.4%)           104 (65.0%)           27 (27.3%)	53 (19.3%)         4 (9.5%)         13 (10.8%)         78 (17.9%)         65 (18.0%)         26 (13.3%)         74 (17.7%)         17 (12.3%)         6 (2.7%)         25 (15.4%)         60 (35.1%)	26 (9.5%) 8 (19.0%) 18 (15.0%) 57 (13.1%) 47 (13.0%) 28 (14.4%) 56 (13.4%) 13.8% 8 (3.6%) 24 (14.8%)	2.058	0.357
35+42Age at First Marriage<18	30 (71.4%)           89 (74.2%)           301 (69.0%)           249 (69.0%)           141 (72.3%)           288 (68.9%)           102 (73.9%)           113 (69.8%)           68 (39.8%)           151 (89.3%)           108 (84.4%)           104 (65.0%)           27 (27.3%)	4 (9.5%) 13 (10.8%) 78 (17.9%) 65 (18.0%) 26 (13.3%) 74 (17.7%) 17 (12.3%) 6 (2.7%) 25 (15.4%) 60 (35.1%)	8 (19.0%) 18 (15.0%) 57 (13.1%) 47 (13.0%) 28 (14.4%) 56 (13.4%) 13.8% 8 (3.6%) 24 (14.8%)	2.058	0.357
Age at First Marriage<18	89 (74.2%)           301 (69.0%)           249 (69.0%)           141 (72.3%)           288 (68.9%)           102 (73.9%)           113 (69.8%)           68 (39.8%)           151 (89.3%)           108 (84.4%)           104 (65.0%)           27 (27.3%)	13 (10.8%)         78 (17.9%)         65 (18.0%)         26 (13.3%)         74 (17.7%)         17 (12.3%)         6 (2.7%)         25 (15.4%)         60 (35.1%)	18 (15.0%)         57 (13.1%)         47 (13.0%)         28 (14.4%)         56 (13.4%)         13.8%         8 (3.6%)         24 (14.8%)	2.058	0.357
<1812018+436Family members<5	301 (69.0%)           249 (69.0%)           141 (72.3%)           288 (68.9%)           102 (73.9%)           209 (93.7%)           113 (69.8%)           68 (39.8%)           151 (89.3%)           108 (84.4%)           104 (65.0%)           27 (27.3%)	78 (17.9%)         65 (18.0%)         26 (13.3%)         74 (17.7%)         17 (12.3%)         6 (2.7%)         25 (15.4%)         60 (35.1%)	57 (13.1%) 47 (13.0%) 28 (14.4%) 56 (13.4%) 13.8% 8 (3.6%) 24 (14.8%)	2.058	0.357
18+436Family members<5	301 (69.0%)           249 (69.0%)           141 (72.3%)           288 (68.9%)           102 (73.9%)           209 (93.7%)           113 (69.8%)           68 (39.8%)           151 (89.3%)           108 (84.4%)           104 (65.0%)           27 (27.3%)	78 (17.9%)         65 (18.0%)         26 (13.3%)         74 (17.7%)         17 (12.3%)         6 (2.7%)         25 (15.4%)         60 (35.1%)	57 (13.1%) 47 (13.0%) 28 (14.4%) 56 (13.4%) 13.8% 8 (3.6%) 24 (14.8%)	2.220	0.330
Family members<5	249 (69.0%) 141 (72.3%) 288 (68.9%) 102 (73.9%) 209 (93.7%) 113 (69.8%) 68 (39.8%) 151 (89.3%) 108 (84.4%) 104 (65.0%) 27 (27.3%)	65 (18.0%) 26 (13.3%) 74 (17.7%) 17 (12.3%) 6 (2.7%) 25 (15.4%) 60 (35.1%)	47 (13.0%) 28 (14.4%) 56 (13.4%) 13.8% 8 (3.6%) 24 (14.8%)	2.220	0.330
<53615+195Parity (Children Ever Born)One -Two418Three +138Poor223Middle162Rich171Education of the respondents (women)No Education169Primary128Secondary160Higher99Education of the husband of the respondentNo Education76Primary128Secondary160Higher99Education of the husband of the respondentNo Education76Primary87Secondary197Higher196Maternal Facility Distance (km)<5	141 (72.3%)           288 (68.9%)           102 (73.9%)           209 (93.7%)           113 (69.8%)           68 (39.8%)           151 (89.3%)           108 (84.4%)           104 (65.0%)           27 (27.3%)	26 (13.3%) 74 (17.7%) 17 (12.3%) 6 (2.7%) 25 (15.4%) 60 (35.1%)	28 (14.4%) 56 (13.4%) 13.8% 8 (3.6%) 24 (14.8%)	2.220	0.330
5+195Parity (Children Ever Born)One -Two418Three +138Three +138Wealth IndexPoor223Middle162Rich171Education of the respondents (women)No Education169Primary128Secondary160Higher99Education of the husband of the respondentNo Education76Primary87Secondary197Higher196Maternal Facility Distance (km)<5	141 (72.3%)           288 (68.9%)           102 (73.9%)           209 (93.7%)           113 (69.8%)           68 (39.8%)           151 (89.3%)           108 (84.4%)           104 (65.0%)           27 (27.3%)	26 (13.3%) 74 (17.7%) 17 (12.3%) 6 (2.7%) 25 (15.4%) 60 (35.1%)	28 (14.4%) 56 (13.4%) 13.8% 8 (3.6%) 24 (14.8%)		
Parity (Children Ever Born)One -Two418Three +138Three +138Wealth Index101Wealth Index223Middle162Rich171Education of the respondents (women)169Primary128Secondary160Higher99Education of the husband of the respondentNo Education76Primary87Secondary107Higher199Secondary107Higher1995.910410+253Occupation of the respondents10410+253Occupation of the respondents297Business105Service100Day laborer54Media Exposure100Do you watch Television371Yes185Listening to the Radio512Yes44	288 (68.9%) 102 (73.9%) 209 (93.7%) 113 (69.8%) 68 (39.8%) 151 (89.3%) 108 (84.4%) 104 (65.0%) 27 (27.3%)	74 (17.7%)         17 (12.3%)         6 (2.7%)         25 (15.4%)         60 (35.1%)	56 (13.4%) 13.8% 8 (3.6%) 24 (14.8%)		
One -Two418Three +138Three +138Wealth Index138Poor223Middle162Rich171Education of the respondents (women)169Primary128Secondary160Higher99Education of the husband of the respondentNo Education76Primary87Secondary197Higher199Secondary197Higher196Maternal Facility Distance (km)<5	102 (73.9%)           209 (93.7%)           113 (69.8%)           68 (39.8%)           151 (89.3%)           108 (84.4%)           104 (65.0%)           27 (27.3%)	17 (12.3%)         6 (2.7%)         25 (15.4%)         60 (35.1%)	13.8% 8 (3.6%) 24 (14.8%)		
Three +138Wealth Index1Poor223Middle162Rich171Education of the respondents (women)No Education169Primary128Secondary160Higher99Education of the husband of the respondentNo Education76Primary87Secondary197Higher199Secondary197Higher199Secondary101(<5)	102 (73.9%)           209 (93.7%)           113 (69.8%)           68 (39.8%)           151 (89.3%)           108 (84.4%)           104 (65.0%)           27 (27.3%)	17 (12.3%)         6 (2.7%)         25 (15.4%)         60 (35.1%)	13.8% 8 (3.6%) 24 (14.8%)	136.007	.000
Wealth IndexPoor223Middle162Rich171Education of the respondents (women)No Education169Primary128Secondary160Higher99Education of the husband of the respondentNo Education76Primary87Secondary197Higher199Secondary197Higher196Maternal Facility Distance (km)<5	209 (93.7%) 113 (69.8%) 68 (39.8%) 151 (89.3%) 108 (84.4%) 104 (65.0%) 27 (27.3%)	6 (2.7%) 25 (15.4%) 60 (35.1%)	8 (3.6%) 24 (14.8%)	136.007	.000
Poor223Middle162Rich171Education of the respondents (women)No Education169Primary128Secondary160Higher99Education of the husband of the respondentNo Education76Primary87Secondary197Higher196Maternal Facility Distance (km)<5	113 (69.8%)           68 (39.8%)           151 (89.3%)           108 (84.4%)           104 (65.0%)           27 (27.3%)	25 (15.4%) 60 (35.1%)	24 (14.8%)	136.007	.000
Poor223Middle162Rich171Education of the respondents (women)No Education169Primary128Secondary160Higher99Education of the husband of the respondentNo Education76Primary87Secondary197Higher196Maternal Facility Distance (km)<5	113 (69.8%)           68 (39.8%)           151 (89.3%)           108 (84.4%)           104 (65.0%)           27 (27.3%)	25 (15.4%) 60 (35.1%)	24 (14.8%)	130.007	.000
Middle162Rich171Education of the respondentsImage of the respondentsNo Education169Primary128Secondary160Higher99Education of the husband of the respondent76Primary87Secondary197Higher196Maternal Facility Distance (km)197Higher196Maternal Facility Distance (km)10410+253Occupation of the respondents10410+253Intemployed524employed524employed32Husband's Occupation524Service100Day laborer54Media Exposure100No371Yes185Listening to the Radio512No512Yes44Residence14	113 (69.8%)           68 (39.8%)           151 (89.3%)           108 (84.4%)           104 (65.0%)           27 (27.3%)	25 (15.4%) 60 (35.1%)	24 (14.8%)	1	
Rich171Education of the respondentsImage: Secondary169Primary128Secondary160Higher99Education of the husband of the respondent76Primary87Secondary197Higher196Primary87Secondary197Higher196Maternal Facility Distance (km)197Secondary1995-910410+253Occupation of the respondents10410+253Service100Agriculture297Business105Service100Day laborer54Internal Facility Distance105Service100Service100Day laborer54Internal Facility Distance105Service100Day laborer54Eustening to the Radio371Yes185Listening to the Radio512Yes44Residence14	68 (39.8%)           151 (89.3%)           108 (84.4%)           104 (65.0%)           27 (27.3%)	60 (35.1%)			1
Education of the respondents (women)           No Education         169           Primary         128           Secondary         160           Higher         99           Education of the husband of tresponder         76           Primary         87           Secondary         197           Education of the husband of tresponder         197           No Education         76           Primary         87           Secondary         197           Higher         196           Maternal Facility Distance (km)         197           <5	151 (89.3%)           108 (84.4%)           104 (65.0%)           27 (27.3%)	1	(20.170)		1
No Education         169           Primary         128           Secondary         160           Higher         99           Education of the husband of the responder         99           Fducation of the husband of the responder         76           Primary         87           Secondary         197           Higher         196           Maternal Facility Distance (km)         99           <-5	108 (84.4%)           104 (65.0%)           27 (27.3%)	4 (2.4%)		140.180	.000
Primary128Secondary160Higher99Education of the husband of tresponderNo Education76Primary87Secondary197Higher196Maternal Facility Distance (km)<5	108 (84.4%)           104 (65.0%)           27 (27.3%)	(=	14 (8.3%)	0.100	
Secondary         160           Higher         99           Education of the husband of the responder         76           No Education         76           Primary         87           Secondary         197           Higher         196           Maternal Facility Distance (km)         76           <5	104 (65.0%) 27 (27.3%)	9 (7.0%)	11 (8.6%)	1	
Higher         99           Education of the husband of the responded         76           No Education         76           Primary         87           Secondary         197           Higher         196           Maternal Facility Distance (km)         76           <5	27 (27.3%)	32 (20.0%)	24 (15.0%)		
Education of the husband of the respondent in the function         76           No Education         76           Primary         87           Secondary         197           Higher         196           Maternal Facility Distance (km)         76           <5		46 (46.5%)	26 (26.3%)		
No Education         76           Primary         87           Secondary         197           Higher         196           Maternal Facility Distance (km)            <5	nt´s	10 (1010 /0)	20 (201070)	123.588	.000
Secondary         197           Higher         196           Maternal Facility Distance (km)	69 (90.8%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (9.2%)		
Higher         196           Maternal Facility Distance (km)	76 (87.4%)	5 (5.7%)	6 (6.9%)		
Higher         196           Maternal Facility Distance (km)	163 (82.7%)	17 (8.6%)	17 (8.6%)		
<5	82 (41.8%)	69 (35.2%)	45 (23.0%)		
5-9         104           10+         253           Occupation of the respondents            unemployed         524           employed         32           Husband's Occupation            Agriculture         297           Business         105           Service         100           Day laborer         54           Media Exposure            Do you watch Television         371           Yes         185           Listening to the Radio            No         512           Yes         44           Residence				92.989	.000
10+         253           Occupation of the respondents            unemployed         524           employed         32           Husband's Occupation            Agriculture         297           Business         105           Service         100           Day laborer         54           Media Exposure            Do you watch Television         371           Yes         185           Listening to the Radio            No         512           Yes         44           Residence	92 (46.2%)	64 (32.2%)	43 (21.6%)		
Occupation of the respondentsunemployed524employed32Husband's OccupationAgriculture297Business105Service100Day laborer54Media ExposureDo you watch Television371Yes185Listening to the RadioNo512Yes44Residence100	78 (75.0%)	14 (13.5%)	12 (11.5%)		
unemployed524employed32Husband's OccupationAgriculture297Business105Service100Day laborer54Media ExposureDo you watch TelevisionNo371Yes185Listening to the RadioNo512Yes44Residence	220 (87.0%)	13 (5.1%)	20 (7.9%)		
employed32Husband's OccupationAgriculture297Business105Service100Day laborer54Media ExposureDo you watch TelevisionNo371Yes185Listening to the RadioNo512Yes44Residence				52.779	.000
Husband's OccupationAgriculture297Business105Service100Day laborer54Media ExposureDo you watch Television371Yes185Listening to the RadioNo512Yes44Residence	385 (73.5%)	73 (13.9%)	66 (12.6%)		
Agriculture         297           Business         105           Service         100           Day laborer         54           Media Exposure         54           Do you watch Television         371           Yes         185           Listening to the Radio         512           Yes         44           Residence         512	5 (15.6%)	18 (56.2%)	9 (28.1%)		
Business105Service100Day laborer54Media ExposureDo you watch TelevisionNo371Yes185Listening to the RadioS12No512Yes44Residence100				104.833	.000
Service100Day laborer54Media Exposure54Do you watch Television71No371Yes185Listening to the Radio512No512Yes44Residence100	247 (83.2%)	21 (7.1%)	29 (9.8%)		
Day laborer54Media ExposureDo you watch TelevisionNo371Yes185Listening to the RadioNo512Yes44Residence	58 (55.2%)	27 (25.7%)	20 (19.0%)		
Media ExposureDo you watch TelevisionNo371Yes185Listening to the RadioNo512Yes44Residence	37 (37.0%)	41 (41.0%)	22 (22.0%)		
Do you watch TelevisionNo371Yes185Listening to the RadioNo512Yes44Residence	48 (88.9%)	2 (3.7%)	4 (7.4%)		
No         371           Yes         185           Listening to the Radio         512           No         512           Yes         44           Residence         100				89.626	.000
Yes         185           Listening to the Radio         185           No         512           Yes         44           Residence         100	308 (83.0%)	31 (8.4%)	32 (8.6%)	89.020	.000
Listening to the Radio           No         512           Yes         44           Residence	82 (44.3%)	60 (32.4%)	43 (23.2%)		
No         512           Yes         44           Residence	02 (11.570)	00 (32.170)	13 (23.270)	18.344	.000
Yes 44 Residence	371 (72.5%)	75 (14.6%)	66 (12.9%)		
	19 (43.2%)	16 (36.4%)	9 (20.5%)		
			. /	97.676	.000
	352 (79.1%)	42 (9.4%)	51 (11.5%)	1	
Urban 111	38 (34.2%)	49 (44.1%)	24 (21.6%)		
Religion				5.808	.055
Buddhist 499	344 (68.9%)	88 (17.6%)	67 (13.4%)		
Other 57	46 (80.7%)	3 (5.3%)	8 (14.0%)		
Group of Tribal	1	1		22.603	.001
Chakma 331	212 (64.0%)	72 (21.8%)	47 (14.2%)		
Marma 138		13 (9.4%)	18 (13.0%)		
Tripura 37	107 (77.5%)	2 (5.4%)	7 (18.9%)		
Other 50	28 (75.7%)	4 (8.0%)	3 (6.0%)		000
Family Planning (using a modern method of	28 (75.7%) 43 (86.0%)	5000 100	17/10 2013	26.144	.000
yes 253	28 (75.7%) 43 (86.0%) contraception)	56(22.1%) 35(11.6%)	47(18.6%)	-	
no 303 Total 556	28 (75.7%) 43 (86.0%)		28(9.2%) 75(13.5%)		

## 3.4. Determinants of Antenatal Care Service Utilization

Table 6 illustrates that women's education, family planning, distance from health care center, index of family property were statistically important determinant for receiving ANC services.

Table 6. Factors	influencing	ANC	service	utilization	among	tribal
women in CHT						

Variables	044- 0-4-	95% C.I. for EXP(B)			
Variables	Odds Ratio	Lower	Upper		
Wealth Index					
(Poor)	1.00				
Middle	4.272***	2.086	8.750		
Rich	7.680***	3.665	16.092		
Distance to Health Facility (km)					
<5	1.00				
5-9	.271***	.144	.509		
10+	.197***	.113	.345		
Husband's Education					
(Illiterate)	1.00				
Primary	.533 <sup>ns</sup>	.161	1.768		
Secondary	.789 <sup>ns</sup>	.259	2.406		
Higher	1.803 <sup>ns</sup>	.543	5.984		
Women's Education					
(Illiterate)	1.00				
Primary	.837 <sup>ns</sup>	.355	1.970		
Secondary	1.049 <sup>ns</sup>	.446	2.464		
Higher (SSC+)	2.900**	1.095	7.678		
Family Planning					
(No)	1.00				
Yes	2.031***	1.240	3.326		

Notes: Level of significance:\*\*\*=p<0.01, \*\*=p<0.05, \* = p<0.10, ns = not significant. The reference category is in the parenthesis.

# 4. Discussion

Research findings have been discussed and interpreted. Evidence from previous research has been examined and compared with the findings of the current study.

In this resrach, we observed the ANC seeking, the adequacy for the ANC and the time of starting for the ANC. The findings showed that 29.9% of the pregnant women sought at least one antenatal care services from trained medical doctors of which 13.5% of women met adequate antenatal care attendance and 16.4% initiated attendance within three months. The BDHS showed that 64% of women sought at least one ANC services from professional doctor and 31% of women took four antenatal care services from a trained doctor [3]. From this comparison, we can infer that the level of receiving ANC by tribal women of CHT was almost half of the national level.

Now we see pictures of research on receiving ANC services in the neighboring countries. A study carried out by Silwal exposed that the majority of the mothers among indigenous people of Nepal did not accept ANC even a dose of tetanus injection [21]. The most discouraging situations were found among Khairwar tribal women of Madhya Pradesh, India; They do not feel the need for

ANC. They did not receive any suggestion or contact with anyone during their pregnancy [22]. They did not accept PHC (primary health care) service due to wrong ideas. About two-thirds of the Bhil tribal women of Madhya Pradesh, India (64 %) also did not receive any IFA tablets or syrup as reported by Sharma [23].

This study showed that more educated women were much interested in using ANC services than those who were illiterate. Similar findings were observed in other studies; a study done by Kamal revealed that highly educated women knew very well about the cost of care for maternal health and was also aware of the benefits of taking care of maternal health [24]. A study in rural Bangladesh ensured that higher education was associated with increased use of ANC [25]. This may be explained that girls going to schools and colleges for studies have the scope to exchange their views with classmates. They can share their problems and implications about maternity with their fellow-learners. The Knowledge of health science would definitely enable a pregnant woman to have sound knowledge about maternal health care services. Education not only promote awareness of good health, but also it guides a way to manage to pay for the cost of medical services. The gulf between educated and uneducated women is enormous in the fact that the educated women try their level best to avail themselves of the full range of modern maternal health facilities, whereas uneducated women are not much aware of and willing to utilize them. It is reasonable to infer that education acts as the sunlight for receiving proper maternity health care services.

It has been shown in the study that distance from the health center was inversely related to the use of ANC among tribal women of Bangladesh. The findings are consistent with the studies in Kenya and rural Haiti, where it has been found that with the increase in distance from the health center, the ANC inspection decreased [26]. Some studies found that respondents did not receive any antenatal or postnatal care due to the long distance to the service center as well as lack of transportation facilities [27,28]. Long distance causes major obstacles to reaching a health facility during pregnancy. Despite the willingness of the tribal women of CHT to receive ANC, they cannot consult a doctor or go to the hospital because of the barrier of distance. The lack of good roads and inadequate transportation severely hamper tribal women's receiving ANCS. The clear inference is that the farther the people live in, the worse the ANCS is affected.

Regarding socioeconomic status, the study revealed that women having affluence and belonging to the privileged class preferably remained in MHCS. Similar findings demonstrated a positive relationship between economic status and receiving ANC services [29]. It is reasonable to assume that mothers from the rich wealth index are generally more educated, aware of existing modern health care services and can afford the cost very easily. Women's high socioeconomic status is the gateway to receiving ANC. Women having great wealth see no impediment to take MHCS.

Concerning the use of family planning, the study found that those who were well-known about family planning were more likely to participate in ANC seeking. Previous studies have ensured that women involved in family planning were more aware of the use of ANC. There is evidence that indicates that women who did not practice family planning were less likely to use the ANC services [30]. This is in line with our research that women who wanted to be pregnant were very less interested in using ANC services. It is generally assumed that the women's awareness and adoption of family planning result in their further utilization of MHCS. When women consult the health workers, doctors, etc. about family planning, they can simultaneously know many things about maternity health care services from them; this ultimately leads them to receive ANC.

## 5. Recommendation & Conclusion

This study unveils that the practice of receiving ANC services is severely affected by the socioeconomic conditions of indigenous women in Bangladesh. Based on the results of the research, the most important recommendations of ANC practices are upgrading economic conditions of tribal people, increasing the level of education of women, reducing the distance to the maternal health center, strengthening family planning program. The art and craft (Handicrafts, Crafts of Bamboo, Woodcraft, Fiber and Textiles craft, Flower crafts, Papercrafts) sector can play an important role in the development and growth of tribal economy. With a view to upgrading the economic conditions of the tribal people, 'eco-friendly' industries such as soil industries or loom industries may be established in the Chittagong hill tracts by using the natural resources of CHT.

Due to geographical condition (Remote and hilly area) distance to health facilities is also one of the factors of not utilizing ANC services. Under the infrastructural development of mountainous areas, a woman can get the best services in maternal health and the use of ANC services can be increased through decentralization of mothers' health centers.

Institutional education is one of the basic needs for everyone as it enlightens a person about the pros and cons of daily life. The learning of health science is no different. Knowledge of health science would definitely enable a pregnant woman to have sound knowledge about maternal health care. Thus more and more opportunities should be provided to have access to institutional education for the people of hill tract areas. With a view to doing so, launching good schools and colleges at the root levels should be taken into account. This will ensure not only institutional education, but also a healthy future citizen with safe motherhood.

In this study we can come to the conclusion that the government of Bangladesh must take necessary steps for the development of ANC services among tribal women of Bangladesh. We hope this research results will help the Ministry of Health to formulate a plan for the improvement of ANC.

## Acknowledgements

I am especially thankful to all the interviewee women who answered our questions very fluently. I would like to extend my grateful thanks to research assistants for their help and cooperation to collect data. I am grateful to my colleagues for their advice and support throughout the study.

## **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## References

- Alkema L, Chou D, Hogan D, Zhang S, Moller AB, Gemmill A, et al. Global, regional, and national levels and trends in maternal mortality between 1990 and 2015, with scenario-based projections to 2030: a systematic analysis by the UN Maternal Mortality Estimation Inter-Agency Group.. Lancet. 2016; 387 (10017): 462-74.
- [2] United Nations Children's Fund, World Health Organization, World Bank. United Nations- -DESA Population Division. Levels and Trends in Child Mortality 2015. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization. https://data.unicef.org/topic/maternal-health/antenatalcare/accessed on 03/04/2017
- [3] National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT). Mitra and Associates, and ICF International. 2016. Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2014. Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- [4] Central Statistics Organization (CSO), Ministry of Public Health (MoPH), and ICF. 2017. Afghanistan Demographic and Health Survey 2015. Kabul, Afghanistan: Central Statistics Organization.
- [5] Central Statistical Agency [Ethiopia]. 2014. Ethiopia Mini Demographic and Health Survey 2014. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- [6] Central Bureau of Statistics, 2015. Nepal Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey 2014, Final Report. kathmandu, Nepal: Central Bureau of Statistics and UNICEF Nepal.
- [7] Walker, J.R., L. McCully, & V. Vest. Evidenced based prenatalcare visits: when less is more. *Journal of Midwifery and Women Health* 46(3): 146-151 (2001).
- [8] Deb, A. & S.G. Sosa-Rubi. Does onset or quality of prenatal care matter more for infant health?. Health Econometrics and Data Group, Working Paper No. 05/11. Heslington: The University of York (2005).
- [9] World Health Organization. *The World Health Report* 2003: Pregnancy, Childbirth, Postpartum and Newborn Care: A Guide for Essential Practice. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2003.
- [10] World Health Organization. *The World Health Report 2002*: WHO Antenatal Care Randomized Controlled Trial: Manual for implementation of the New Model. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.2002
- [11] World Health Organization. *The World Health Report 2006:* Provision of effective antenatal care. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2006.
- [12] Heaman MI, Newburn-Cook CV, Green CG, Elliott LJ, Helewa ME. Inadequate prenatal care and its association with adverse pregnancy outcomes: a comparison of indices, *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*.2008; 8:15.
- [13] Bbaale E. Factors influencing the utilization of antenatal care content in Uganda, Aust Med J. 2011; 4(9): 516-26.
- [14] Beeckman K, Louckx F, Putman K .Determinants of the number of antenatal visits in a metropolitan region, *BMC Public Health* .2010;10:527.
- [15] Carroli G, Rooney C, Villar J. How effectiveness is antenatal care in preventing maternal mortality and serious morbidity? An overview of the evidence, *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* 2001; 15(suppl 1): s1-s42.).
- [16] Kamal SMM, Hassan CH, Islam MD. Factors associated with the timing of antenatal care seeking in Bangladesh. Asia-Pacific Journal of Public Health. 2013; 27(2): 1467-80.
- [17] Islam MR, Odland JO. Determinants of antenatal and postnatal care visits among Indigenous people in Bangladesh: a study of the Mru Community.Rural and Remote Health, 2009; 11, 1-13.

- [18] Islam MR, Islam MN, Rahman MM. Trends and Patterns of Reproductivity Decline in Bangladesh: 1956-1998. Man in India. 2004; 84(1 & 2), 85-97.
- [19] Pervin J, Moran A, Rahman M, Razzaque A, Sibley L, Streatfield PK, Reichenbach LJ, Koblinsky M, Hruschka D, Rahman A, Association of antenatal care with facility delivery and perinatal survival – a population-based study in Bangladesh, BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2012;12, 1-12.
- [20] Md. Shahjahan, Hasina Akhter Chowdhury, Jesmin Akter, Afsana Afroz, M Mizanur Rahman, MA Hafez, Factors associated with use of antenatal care services in a rural area of Bangladesh. 2012; 2(2), 61-66.
- [21] Silwal M. Maternal Health Care Practices among Indigenous People of Nepal: A Case Study of the Raute Community. Master's Thesis (Masters of Philosophy in Indigenous Studies 09), *Faculty* of Social Sciences University of Tromsø, Norway.2011.
- [22] Roy J, Saha KB, Abbad A. Some Aspects of Maternal and Child Health Care among Khairwars of Madhya Pradesh, Kamla-Raj. *Ethno Med.* 2010; 4: 107-109.
- [23] Sharma RK. Newborn care among tribes of Central India experiences from micro level studies. *Social Change*. 2010; 40: 117-137.
- [24] Kamal, SMM .Factors Affecting Utilization of Skilled Maternity Care Services among Married Adolescents in Bangladesh, Asian Population Studies. 2009; 5 (2): 153-170

- [25] Chakraborty N, Islam MA, Chowdhury RI, Wasimul Bari W, Akhter HH. Determinants of the use of maternal health services in rural Bangladesh, *Health Promotion International*, 2003; 18(4): 327-337.
- [26] Magadi, Monica Akinyi, Nyovani Janet Madise, and Roberto Nascimento Rodrigues, Frequency and timing of antenatal care in Kenya: explaining the variations between women of different communities, *Social Science & Medicine*. 2000; 51(4): 551-561.
- [27] Mesko N, Osrin, D, Tamang S, Shrestha BP, Manandhar DS, Manandhar M, Standing H, Costello AM. Care for perinatal illness in rural Nepal: A descriptive study with cross-sectional and qualitative components. *BMC Int Health Hum Rights*. 2003.
- [28] Myer L and Harrison A. Why do women seek antenatal care late? Perspectives from rural South Africa. J Midwifery Womens Health. 2003; 48: 268-272.
- [29] McCaw-Binns, A., La Grenade, J., & Ashley, D. Under-users of antenatal care: A comparison of non-attenders and late attenders for antenatal care with early attenders. *Social Science and Medicine*. 2007; 40: 1003-1012.
- [30] Edward B. Factors influencing the utilization of antenatal care content in Uganda. Aust Med J. 2011; 4(9): 516.