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#### Abstract

Analytical structure of the non-relativistic unitary and non-unitary $S$-matrix are investigated for he cases of any interactions with any motion equations inside a sphere of radius $a$, enclosed by the centrifugal and rapidly decreasing (exponentially or by the Yukawian law or by the more rapidly decreasing) potentials. Some kinds of the symmetry conditions are imposed. The Schroedinger equation for $r>a$ for the particle motion and the condition of the completeness of the correspondent wave functions are assumed. The connection of the obtained results with the causality is examined. Partially some analytical properties for the multi-channel $S$-matrix are investigated and the sum rules for mean compound-nucleus time delays and the density of compound-nucleus levels. Sometimes (as physical revelations of profound general methodic and in very good consistent accordance with the experiment) observable physical effects, such as parity violation enhancement and time resonances or explosions, are manifested. Finally a scientific program of future search is presented as a clear continuation and extension of the obtained results.
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## 1. General Introduction (pre-face)

The known Russian mathematician and physicisttheoretician N.N. Bogolyubov claimed ${ }^{1}$ that mathematics now become partly the range of the theoretical physics (namely the quantum collision theory, analytical theory of the S-matrix, dispersion ratio and quite recently - maximal hermitian time operator for quantum systems with continuous energy spectra ${ }^{2}$ ). Also the known Russian physicist-theoretician Landau has said ${ }^{3}$ a new good method in physics is better than any effect because it can bring us to some or even many new effects which can in a new way explain the experimental data. And namely there

[^0]is a reincarnation of these ideas in my paper. The both remarks are clearly manifested in both parts of this paper. There are new physical effects which had followed almost directly from my methods (they are developed from mathematical methods, reviewed in the part I, and from my new theoretical method, generalized in the part II).

In part I there are the new effect of the time resonances (or explosions), which had followed from the Simonius multichannel $S$-matrix, and the parity-violationenhancement effect, which had get out the analytical structure of the $S$-matrix for the interactions with the violated parity. In the part II there are new transformations between the C- and L-system for cross sections with two and more interaction mechanisms, which generalize the well-known standard cinematic transformations between the C- and L-systems for cross sections with one direct (or prompt) mechanism, and also the virtual delay-advance effect in the C-system and absence of it in L-system (both revealed firstly by me). Both results or effects had followed from the space-time analysis (or method) of neutron-nucleus scattering, which has also firstly been elaborated by me.

So, the presented paper is evident manifestation of such remarks of two known physicists, which appeared to be unexpected for me.

## 2. Analytic Properties of the S-matrix for Any Interactions, Enclosed by Centrifugal Rapidly Decreasing Potentials (as an Introduction)

Many papers and books on non-relativistic quantum collision theory are dedicated to the analysis of the solutions of the Schroedinger equation and of the analytical properties of the correspondent $S$-matrix for various potentials of different forms, extended in all the three-dimensional space with radial coordinate along the axis $(0, \infty)$. And only a rather small number of papers are concentrated on the study of the analytical properties of the $S$-matrix with the minimal number of assumptions on the interactions on small distances (practically nothing, with the exception of very general physical and mathematical principles, such as certain symmetry properties, causality or the condition of the completeness of the wave functions at the external interaction range, and also the possibility of the $S$-matrix analytic continuation at the complex plane of the kinetic energies or of the wave numbers). This approach ascends to the old idea of Heisenberg [1] (see also [2,3,4,5] and precedent references therein) on the unique fundamental quantity (the $S$-matrix) which is sufficient for the predictions of many observable quantities basing only on the general physical and mathematical principles.

Now we shall outline the main results of [4, part II] for the unitary $S$-matrix, since they will be an initial base of the further reviewed results of papers [6-12]. Namely in [4, part II] it had been obtained the analytical expression of the function $S_{l}(k)$, which defines the relation between the amplitudes of ingoing and outgoing $l$-waves for the elastic scattering of non-relativistic particles without spin (with $l=0$ ) for arbitrary interaction, localized inside the sphere of radius $a$, starting from the unitary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{l}(k) S_{l}^{*}\left(k^{*}\right)=1, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the symmetry condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{l}(k) S(-k)=1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{I_{1}^{*}}(k) S\left(-k^{*}\right)=1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the particular "causality" condition (if the ingoing wave packet is normalized so, that at $\mathrm{t}=-\infty$ it represents one particle, then the total probability to find the particle in any successive time moment (for instance, $\mathrm{t}=0$ ) outside the interaction sphere cannot be more than 1). Strictly speaking, this condition is not the causality but the conservation of the total probability (more correctly, its analytical continuation in the complex plane of $k$ ). In [13] it was shown that it does directly follow from the orthogonality of the eigen functions of a self-adjoint operator, describing the motion and interaction of the colliding particles.

Then, it had been also assumed the existence of the analytic continuation of $S_{l}(k)$ into the complex plane of $k$ and the condition of the quadratic integrability of the weight functions of the wave packets which in turn ensured the uniform convergence (at the range $r>a$ ) of the integrals over momentum in the Fourier-expansions of the
wave packets. Finally it was obtained the following expression for $S_{0}(k)$ :

$$
S_{0}(k)=\exp (-2 i k \alpha) \prod_{\lambda} \frac{k_{\lambda}-k}{k_{\lambda}+k} \prod_{s} \frac{\left(k_{s}-k\right)\left(k_{s}^{*}+k\right)}{\left(k_{s}^{*}-k\right)\left(k_{s}+k\right)}, \text {, (4) }
$$

where $\alpha \leq a$, $k_{\lambda}$ are zeros on the imaginary axis (which are simple on the lower semi-axis), $k_{s}$ are the zeros in the upper half-plane $D^{+}$, the products $\prod_{\lambda}$ and $\prod_{S}$ converge on the real axis $k$. In [14] it was shown that zeros $k_{\lambda}$ on the lower and upper imaginary semi-axes and zeros $k_{s}$ correspond to bound, virtual (anti-bound) and resonance states, respectively.

If the interaction is described by a local central potential $V(r)$, independent from $k$, and the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} d r r^{n}|V(r)|<\infty, n=1,2 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r) \equiv 0 \text { for } r>a \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

are fulfilled, the expression (4) is valid also for arbitrary values of $l$, with $\alpha=a$ and the product over $\lambda$ contains a finite number of poles on the upper imaginary semi-axis. But if only the condition (5) is fulfilled, then the expression (4) is, generally speaking, invalid and one does often use the following expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{l}(k)=\frac{f_{l-}(k)}{f_{l+}(k)}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{0 \pm}(k)=f_{0 \pm}(k, 0)$ for $l=0$ and

$$
f_{\downarrow_{ \pm}}(k)=\frac{k^{l} \exp ( \pm i l \pi / 2)}{(2 l-1)!!} \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{l} f_{l_{ \pm}}(k, r)
$$

for $l>0, f_{l \pm}(k, r)$ is the solution of the radial Schroedinger equation or of the equivalent to it integral equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{l \pm}(k, r)= \pm i \exp ( \pm i l p / 2) k r h_{l}^{(1,2)}(k r) \\
& -\frac{2 \mu}{\hbar^{2} k} \int_{0}^{\infty} d r^{\prime} g_{l}\left(k ; r, r^{\prime}\right) V\left(r^{\prime}\right) f_{l \pm}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right), \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

with the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} f_{\text {I }}(k, r) \exp (\mp i k r)=1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$g_{l}\left(k ; r, r^{\prime}\right)=\frac{i k r r^{\prime}}{2}\left[h_{l}{ }^{(1)}(\mathrm{k}) h_{l}^{(2)}(k r)-h_{l}{ }^{(1)}(k r) h_{l}^{(2)}(\mathrm{k})\right]$, and $h_{l}^{(1,2)}(k r)=j_{l}(k r) \pm i n_{l}(k r)$ are the Hankel spherical functions of the first and the second kind, respectively ( $j_{l}$ $(k r), n_{l}(k r)$ are the Bessel and the Neiman spherical function, respectively). At such conditions the function $S_{l}$ ( $k$ ) can have, besides the singularities described by (4), additional singularities, corresponding to the singularities of $f_{l \pm}(k, r)$.

The author's (partly with his collaborators) papers [6-12] are presented the review of the results of that approach, published gradually during 1961-2006 (mainly in the

Russia and Ukraine), and can be evidently continued in the future. The second part of this paper contains another review, dedicated to the space-time description of cross sections and durations of neutron-nucleus scattering near $1-2$ resonances in the C - and L -systems. In the final sections of both parts of the present review, the scientific program is presented which is connected with the remained tasks, problems and also the gradually revealed perspective, unexpected previously, - how the rigorous mathematical method or approach can help to reveal quite concrete and sometimes paradox physical phenomena.

## 3. The Properties of the Non-unitary One-channel S-matrix for the Arbitrary Interactions Enclosed by the Centrifugal Barrier and a Potential, which is Decreasing More Rapidly then Any Exponential Function

Now, following [7], we consider a generalized case when the interaction and motion equation inside the sphere of radius $a$ are unknown as before, but at $r>a$ contains the centrifugal barrier $\hbar^{2} l(l+1) / r^{2}$ and a potential $V(r)$, and there is not only a scattering but also a partial particle absorption or generation. For the convenience let us introduce new interaction characteristics - a complex "interaction constant" $\gamma$. We agree conventionally that its real part $\operatorname{Re} \gamma$ will characterize that interaction part which cause by itself the scattering only without the particle absorption or generating. And we agree to set up the negative (positive) value of $\operatorname{Im} \gamma$ in correspondence with that interaction part, the absence of which causes the absence of the particle absorption (or generating). If we further connect the particle absorption and generating with the simple decreasing or increasing of the flux of the scattered particles in comparison with the flux of bombarding particles, assuming the conservation of their impulse and other characteristics, then it will be natural to impose the following conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
0<\left|S_{l}(\gamma, k)\right|^{2} \leq 1,  \tag{10a}\\
1 \leq\left|S_{l}\left(\gamma^{*}, k\right)\right|^{2}<\infty, \tag{10b}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $\operatorname{Im} \gamma<0$, for real positive $k$. Since the conditions (10a) and (10b) are evidently insufficient for the study of the analytic properties of $S_{l}(\gamma, k)$, let introduce, generalizing (1)-(3), the new symmetry properties (typical for central interactions)

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{l}(\gamma, k) S_{l}(\gamma,-k)=1,  \tag{2a}\\
S_{l}(\gamma *, k) S_{l}(\gamma *,-k)=1, \tag{3a}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the generalized "unitarity" condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{l}(\gamma, k) S_{l}^{*}\left(\gamma *, k^{*}\right)=1 \tag{1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus selecting for any interaction with the constant $\gamma(\operatorname{Im} \gamma$, 0 ) the "conjugate " interaction with the complex conjugate constant $\gamma *$.

One can easily check that the conditions (1a),(2a),(3a) and (10a), (10b) are automatically fulfilled in the case when the interaction can be described by the complex potential which satisfies the condition (5) [14,15]. In that
case the values $\gamma$ and $\gamma *$ are not only conventional but also factual parameters of the potential $V(\gamma, r)=\operatorname{Re} \gamma V_{1}$ $(r)+i \operatorname{Im} \gamma V_{2}(r)$.

Instead of the "causality" condition from [4, part II], we shall use the condition of the completeness for the wave functions outside the sphere of unknown interaction, factually assuming in this region (i.e. for $r \geq a$ ) the possibility of describing the colliding particles by the Schroedinger equation with a self-adjoint Hamiltonian:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} k^{2} d k R_{l}^{(+)}(\gamma, k, r) R_{l}^{(+)^{*}}\left(\gamma, k, r^{\prime}\right) \\
& +\sum_{n} R_{n l}\left(\gamma, k_{n l}, r\right) R_{n l}\left(\gamma, k_{n l}, r^{\prime}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& =\frac{\delta\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)}{r^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{l}^{(+)}(\gamma, k, r) & =\frac{i}{2 k r}\left[\begin{array}{l}
f_{l-}(k, r) \exp (i l \pi / 2) \\
-S_{l}(\gamma, k) f_{l+}(k, r) \exp (-i l \pi / 2)
\end{array}\right], \\
R_{n l} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} B_{n l}\left(\gamma, k_{n l}\right) f_{l+}\left(k_{n l}, k\right) / r,
\end{aligned}
$$

functions $f_{l \pm}(k, r)$ are defined by equation (8); $\operatorname{Im} k_{n l}>0$ and consequently the functions $R_{n l}$ are integrable together with their squares (at least, at the range $a \leq r<\infty$ ) ; all the information on the interaction inside the sphere with the radius $r<a$ contains in the functions $S_{l}(\gamma, k)$ and the constants $B_{n l}\left(\gamma, k_{n l}\right)$. Let note that we (tacitly) assume that $R_{n l}\left(\gamma, k_{n l}, r\right)=R_{n l}{ }^{\bullet}\left(\gamma^{\bullet}, k_{n l}{ }^{\bullet}, r\right)$ in (11).

Eq.(11) represents a generalization of the completeness relation for the eigen functions of the most simple classes of the non-Hermitian Hamiltonians [14] for the cases when all the eigen values $k_{n l}$ are simple (non-multiple) and are situated outside the real axis $k$. When $\gamma=\operatorname{Re} \gamma$, the functions $R_{n l}$ describe simply bounds states of the system. For the complex values of $\gamma$ they have the same boundary conditions as the bound states and their properties for the non-singular potentials with the negative imaginary part are partially described in [16].

In order to be sure that $S_{l}(\gamma, k)$ can have the analytic continuation into the complex plane of $k$, one has to impose some limitations on the potential tails of at the range of $r>a$. In the correspondence with the study of the potential scattering in [14-17], we can try, at least, to limit ourselves by the cases when at the range $r>a$, besides the centrifugal barrier, there is present a potential which satisfies the following condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} d r r|V(r)| \exp (b r)<\infty \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

at least, with any arbitrarily small $b$.
Using the properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{l+}^{*}\left(k^{\bullet}, r\right)=f_{l+}(-k, r)=f_{l-}(k, r) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for real $k$ and relations (1a),(2a) and (3a) for $S_{l}(\gamma, k)$, one can transform (11) into the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{r r^{\prime}} \int_{C} d k f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l-}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right) \\
& -\frac{(-1)^{l}}{r r^{\prime}} \int_{C} d k S_{l}(\gamma, k) f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right)  \tag{14}\\
& +\frac{1}{r r^{\prime}} \sum_{n}\left(B_{n l}\right)^{2} f_{l+}\left(k_{n l}, r\right) f_{l+}\left(k_{n l}, r^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\frac{2 \pi \delta\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)}{r^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

where the integration trajectory $C$ goes along the real axis $k$ from $-\infty$ to $\infty$, bypassing the point
$k=0$ where $f_{l \pm}$ have the pole of the $l$-th order by a semicircle of the infinitesimal small radius, located in the upper semi-space.

We shall limit ourselves by the case when $f_{l \pm}(k, r)$ behavior as $\exp ( \pm i k r)$ in all the complex plane at $|k| \rightarrow \infty$. Then, shifting the integration contour into $D^{+}$, enclosing all the singularities and utilizing equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Gamma+} d k f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l-}(k, r) \\
& =\int_{\Gamma+} d k e^{i k\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d k e^{i k\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)}=2 \pi \delta\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)  \tag{15}\\
& \quad \int_{\Gamma+} d k S_{l}(\gamma, k) f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Gamma+} d k S_{l}(\gamma, k) e^{i k\left(r+r^{\prime}\right)} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n} \oint_{k_{m}} d k f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l-}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{p} \oint_{\gamma_{p}} d k f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l-}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right) \\
& -(-1)^{l} \sum_{j} \oint_{k_{j}} d k S_{l}(\gamma, k) f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right)  \tag{17}\\
& -(-1)^{l} \sum_{q} \oint_{\gamma_{q}} d k S_{l}(\gamma, k) f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right) \\
& -(-1)^{l} \sum_{n}\left(B_{n l}\right)^{2} f_{l+}\left(k_{n l}, r\right) f_{n l}\left(k_{n l}, r^{\prime}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $\int_{\Gamma^{+}}$is the integral over the infinitely large semicircle above the real axis, $\oint_{k_{n}}$ is the integral over an infinitesimal circle around an isolate singular point, $\oint$ is the integral over a contour which envelops a non$\gamma_{p}$
isolate singularity (for instance, over the edges of the cut conducted for a branch point). Since all these contours are independent, equality (17) is equivalent to the following system of equalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (-1)^{l} \oint_{k_{n}} d k S_{l}(\gamma, k) f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right)  \tag{18}\\
& =\left(B_{n l}\right)^{2} f_{l+}\left(k_{n l}, r\right) f_{l+}\left(k_{n l}, r^{\prime}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& (-1)^{l} \oint_{k_{n}} d k S_{l}(\gamma, k) f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\oint_{k_{n}} d k f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l-}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right)  \tag{19}\\
& (-1)^{l} \oint_{\gamma_{p}} d k S_{l}(\gamma, k) f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\oint_{\gamma_{p}} d k f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l-}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Gamma} d k S_{l}(\gamma, k) e^{i k\left(r+r^{\prime}\right)}=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple analysis of equation (18) shows that $S_{l}(\gamma, k)$ has the poles of the first order on the positive imaginary semi-axis (which at $\gamma=\operatorname{Re} \gamma$ correspond to bound states) with residues $(-1)^{l+1} i \frac{\left(B_{n l}\right)^{2}}{2 \pi}$. Re-writing eq.(19) in the form

$$
\oint_{k_{n}} d k f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right)\left[\frac{f_{l-}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right)}{f_{l+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right)}-(-1)^{l} S_{l}(\gamma, k)\right]=0,(19 a)
$$

after simple reasoning one can easily to conclude that $S_{l}(\gamma$, $k$ ) has to have additional isolate singularities $D^{+}$, coincident with those isolate singularities of $f_{l-}(k, r)$ in the upper semi-space near which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow k_{m n}} f_{l-}(k, r)=\lim _{k \rightarrow k_{m n}} D_{m}(k) f_{l+}(k, r), \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $D_{m}(k)$ does not depend on $r$ and has an isolate singular point $k_{m}$. Similarly one can study nonisolate singularities of $S_{l}(\gamma, k)$ coming from analysis of eq.(20).

In the simplest case when outside the sphere of radius $a$ there is only a centrifugal potential, functions $f_{l \pm}(k, r)$ have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{ \pm}(k, r)=( \pm i) \exp ( \pm i l \pi / 2) k r h_{f}^{(1,2)}(k r) \tag{8a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since functions $h_{l}^{(1,2)}(k r)$ are analytical in the whole complex plane $k$, with the exception of points $k=0$ and $\infty$, then we can choose at $|k| \rightarrow \infty$

$$
( \pm i) \exp ( \pm i l \pi / 2) k r h f^{(1,2)}(k r) \underset{|k| \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} \exp ( \pm i k r)
$$

in the whole complex plane $k$, and so, in correspondence with eq.(18)-(21), the function $\exp (2 i k \alpha) S_{l}(\gamma, k), \alpha \leq a$, is regular everywhere in the whole $D^{+}$, except isolate singularities $k_{n l}$ which for $\gamma=\operatorname{Re} \gamma$ are localized on the positive imaginary semi-axis. In this last case, we can find the product expansion of the type (4), where points $k_{\lambda}$ are the zeros $k_{n l}$ on the lower imaginary semi-axis, corresponding to bound states, and also the zeros on the upper imaginary semi-axis, which define virtual (antibound) states and correspond to the poles situated, at least, by one between the poles $k_{n l}$ and $k_{n+1}$, following an approach that was outlined in $[9,13]$.

For the complex values of $\gamma$ the final result for $S_{l}(\gamma, k)$ can be also represented in the analytical form. Considering that the zeros (poles) of $S_{l}(\gamma, k)$ in the first and the second quadrants do in the consequence of the symmetry
conditions (2a) correspond to the poles (zeros) in the third and the forth quadrants, mirror-like symmetrical to them relative to the direct lines $\operatorname{Im} k=\operatorname{Re} k$ and $\operatorname{Im} k=-\operatorname{Re} k$, respectively, we can find the product expansion of $S_{l}(\gamma, k)$, following an approach that was outlined in [10,12]. Its derivation is performed in Appendix I, and the obtained there final forms are:
$S_{l}(g, k)$
$=\exp (\sqcap 2 i a k) \prod_{n} \frac{k_{n l}+k}{k_{n l}-k} \prod_{\lambda} \frac{k_{\lambda}-k}{k_{\lambda}+k} \prod_{s} \frac{k_{s}-k}{k_{s}+k} \prod_{s^{\prime}} \frac{k_{s^{\prime}}-k}{k_{s^{\prime}}+k}$, (23a)
$S_{l}\left(g^{*}, k\right)$
$=\exp (-2 i a k) \prod_{n} \frac{k_{n!}^{\bullet}+k}{k_{n!}^{\bullet}-k} \prod_{\lambda} \frac{k_{\lambda}^{\bullet}-k}{k_{\lambda}^{\bullet}+k} \prod_{s} \frac{k_{s}^{\bullet}-k}{k_{s}^{\bullet}+k} \prod_{s^{\prime}} \frac{k_{s^{\prime}}-k}{k_{s^{\prime}}+k}$,
which generalizes (4), taking conditions (1a)-(3a) into account. Here $k_{n l}$ are the poles in the lower half-space $D^{-}$, $k_{\lambda}$ are the zeros in $D^{+}, k_{s}$ and $k_{s^{\prime}}$ are the zeros in the first and the second quadrants, respectively. The results (23a, b) had been explicitly obtained in [7] firstly and had not been analyzed before even for the simple interactions described by the complex potentials.

The written above simplified assumptions on the eigen values $k_{n l}$ in the completeness condition (11) factually brings to an insignificant limitation of the interaction class. The absence of values $k_{n l}$ on the real axis $k$, i.e. the absence of poles and zeros (spectral points) of $S_{l}(\gamma, k)$ and $S_{l}\left(\gamma^{*}, k\right)$ corresponding to them (as well as the absence of values of $k_{s}$ and $k_{s^{\prime}}$ ), does simply signify the rejection the cases of the total absorption of bombarding particles and also the rejection of the infinite increasing of the newparticle birth for the physical values of $k \geq 0$. The condition of the absence of the eigen values $k_{n l}$ with the multiplicity of more than 1 apparently does not also bring to the essential limitation of the interaction class. Really, if one naturally assumes that a smooth change of the interaction parameter $\gamma$ brings to the smooth shift of the values $k_{n l}$, then the arbitrarily small change of the parameter $\gamma$ will bring to a certain small divergence of the various trajectories $k_{n l}(\gamma)$ from the point of their $\left(k_{n l}\right)$ coincidence. In [9] it was shown (with the help of another method) that expressions (23a,b) are valid for local potentials inside $r \leq a$ with a hard (infinite) core of radius $r_{0}<a$, for non-local separable potentials of the type $v(r)$ $v\left(r^{\prime}\right)$ with $0<r, r^{\prime}<a$, for non-local separable potentials with a hard(infinite) core of radius $r_{0}<a$. And expressions (23a,b) were generalized for local complex potentials with multiple zeros $-k_{n l}, k_{\lambda}$ and $k_{s}$. In the last case in (23a,b) there will be present the factors of the type $\left(\frac{k_{n l}+k}{k_{n l}-k}\right)^{\alpha}{ }_{n l}\left(\frac{k_{\lambda}-k}{k_{\lambda}+k}\right)^{\alpha}{ }_{\lambda}\left(\frac{k_{s}-k}{k_{s}+k}\right)^{\alpha}{ }_{s}$, where $\alpha_{n l}, \alpha_{\lambda}$ and $\alpha_{s}$ are the multiplicities of reros $-k_{n 1}, k_{\lambda}$ and $k_{s}$, respectively.

If at the external region, when $r \geq a$, there are the centrifugal barrier and a potential, which is decreasing more rapidly then any exponential function, then the results (23a, b) remain valid since in this case the functions $f_{I \pm}(k, r)$ are analytical everywhere (see Appendix III), besides points $k=0$ and $\infty$, and at the limit $|k| \rightarrow \infty$ they tend to $\exp ( \pm i k r)$.

## 4. The Analytical Properties of the Non-unitary S-matrix for Any Non-central and Parity-violating Interactions, Enclosed by the Centrifugal Barrier and a Potential, which is Decreasing More Rapidly than Any Exponential Function

We shall study this problem, following [10]. Let us suppose that the interaction between two colliding particles is such that the $S$-matrix is diagonal, as regards the total momentum $j$, does not depend on the totalmomentum projection onto an arbitrary axis, and contains both diagonal and non-diagonal elements regarding the orbital momentum $l$ with the mixed neighboring values $l, l^{\prime}=j \pm \lambda$ of equal $(\lambda=1)$ or opposite $\left(\lambda=\frac{1}{2}\right)$ parities. Particularly, there is a mixture of values $l, l^{\prime}=l \pm 1$ (in the case of a tensor interaction admixture) or there is no mixture at all ( $l=l^{\prime}=j, \lambda=0$ ), and there is a mixture $l, l^{\prime}=j+\frac{1}{2}$ in the case of a parity-violating interaction like $v(r) \hat{\vec{\sigma}} \hat{\vec{p}}+\hat{\vec{\sigma}} \hat{\bar{p}} v(r)$, where $r$ is the relative distance between two particles, $\hat{\bar{\sigma}}$ is the Pauli pseudo-vector matrix, $\hat{\vec{p}}$ is the momentum operator for the relative motion of a nucleon and a nucleus with spin 0 . Of course, in the case of central interactions always $l=l^{\prime}=j$ and $\lambda=0$.
Thus, we consider the unknown non-central or parityviolating interaction inside the sphere $r<a$ surrounded by the centrifugal barrier and a central potential, which is decreasing more rapidly than any exponential function $V(r)$. Supposing that there is not only the scattering but also the absorption or the creation of particles, ii is natural as usually to put, generalizing ( $10 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ), the following conditions for the elements $S_{I l^{\prime}}^{j}$ of the $S$-matrix

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0<\sum_{l^{\prime}}\left|S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)\right|^{2} \leq 1,  \tag{24a}\\
& 1 \geq \sum_{l^{\prime}}\left|S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)\right|^{2}<\infty \tag{24b}
\end{align*}
$$

and, generalizing (1a)-(3a), the extended "unitarity" condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l} S_{l_{1} l}^{j}(\gamma, k) S_{l_{2}}^{j \bullet}\left(\gamma^{\bullet}, k^{\bullet}\right)=\delta_{l_{1} l_{2}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and symmetry condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{I l^{\prime}}^{j \bullet}\left(\gamma^{\bullet}, k^{\bullet}\right)=(-1)^{l+l^{\prime}} S_{I l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma,-k) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

(as regards the axis $\operatorname{Im} k$ ), and also the condition of $S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}$ symmetry regarding the lower indices:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)=S_{l^{\prime \prime} l}^{j}(\gamma, k) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

One easily check that the conditions (45)-(48) are automatically fulfilled in the case of central complex potential (5).

A system state for $r \geq a$ can be described by the wave functions
$R_{l l^{\prime}}^{j \bullet}(\gamma, k, r)=\frac{i}{2 k r}\left[\begin{array}{l}\delta_{l l^{\prime}} f_{l^{\prime}-}(k, r) \exp \left(i l^{\prime} \pi / 2\right) \\ -S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k) f_{l^{\prime}+}(k, r) \exp \left(-i l^{\prime} \pi / 2\right)\end{array}\right]$
in the continuous part of the spectrum and

$$
R_{l}^{j(n)}\left(\gamma, k_{n l}, r\right)=(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2} B_{l}\left(\gamma, k_{n l}\right) f_{l+}\left(k_{n l}, r\right) r^{-1}(29)
$$

in the discrete part of the spectrum.
Generalizing the completeness relation (11) for the unknown non-central or parity-violating interaction inside the sphere $r<a$, surrounded by the centrifugal barrier and a central potential, which is decreasing more rapidly then any exponential function $V(r)$, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{l} \int_{0}^{\infty} k^{2} d k R_{l^{\prime} l}^{j(+)}(\gamma, k, r) R_{l^{\prime \prime} l}^{j(+)}\left(\gamma, k, r^{\prime}\right)  \tag{30}\\
& +\sum_{n} R_{l^{\prime}}^{j(n)}\left(\gamma, k_{n j} r\right) R_{l^{\prime \prime}}^{j(n)}\left(\gamma^{\bullet}, k_{n j}, r^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\delta\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)}{r^{2}} \delta_{l^{\prime} l^{\prime \prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

Relation (51) is a generalization of the completeness condition for eigen functions of a class of non-hermitian Hamiltonians $[17,18]$ for which all eigen values are simple (not multiple) and are situated outside the axis Re $k$.

As usually, in order that one be sure of the possibility of analytic continuation of $S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ in the complex plane $k$, one needs to put the limitation (12) in the external region ( $r \geq$ a).

Using the properties (49) for real $k$ and conditions (46)(48), one can rewrite (51) in the form
$\frac{1}{r r^{\prime}} \int_{C} d k f_{l^{\prime}-}(k, r) f_{l^{\prime \prime}+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right) \delta_{l^{\prime} l^{\prime \prime}}$
$-\frac{\exp \left[-i\left(l^{\prime}+l^{\prime \prime}\right) \pi / 2\right]}{r r^{\prime}} \int_{C} d k S_{l^{\prime} l^{\prime \prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k) f_{l^{\prime}+}(k, r) f_{l^{\prime \prime+}}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right)$
$+\frac{1}{r r^{\prime}} \sum_{n} B_{l^{\prime}}\left(\gamma, k_{n j}\right) B_{l^{\prime \prime}}\left(\gamma, k_{n j}\right) f_{l^{\prime}+}\left(k_{n j}, r\right) f_{l^{\prime \prime}+}\left(k_{n j}, r^{\prime}\right)$
$=\frac{2 \pi \delta\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)}{r^{2}} \delta_{l^{\prime} l^{\prime \prime}}$
where the integration path $C$ goes along the axis Re $k$ from $-\infty$ to $\infty$, passing near the point $k=0$ (here $f_{l \pm}(k, r)$ have poles of $l$-th order) along semi-circle of the infinitely small radius in $D^{+}$.

We shall limit ourselves by the case when $f_{I \pm}(k, r)$ behavior as $\exp ( \pm i k r)$ in all the complex plane at $|k| \rightarrow \infty$. Then, shifting the integration contour into $D^{+}$, enclosing all the singularities by closed singularities (as near to them as we like) and using equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Gamma+} d k f_{l-}(k, r) f_{l+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right)=\int_{\Gamma+} d k e^{i k\left(r^{\prime}-r\right)} \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d k e^{i k\left(r^{\prime}-r\right)}=2 \pi \delta\left(r-r^{\prime}\right) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Gamma+} d k S_{l^{\prime} l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k) f_{l^{\prime}+}(k, r) f_{l^{\prime \prime}+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Gamma+} d k S_{l^{\prime} l^{\prime \prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k) e^{i k\left(r+r^{\prime}\right)} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{l l^{\prime}} \sum_{m} \oint_{k_{m}} d k f_{l-}(k, r) f_{l^{\prime}+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right) \\
& +\delta_{l l^{\prime}} \sum_{p} \oint_{k_{p}} d k f_{l-}(k, r) f_{l^{\prime}+}(k, r)- \\
& \exp \left[-i\left(l+l^{\prime}\right) \pi / 2\right]\left[\sum_{v} \oint_{k_{V}} d k S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k) f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l^{\prime}+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right)+\right.  \tag{34}\\
& \sum_{q} \oint_{\gamma_{q}} d k S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k) f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l^{\prime}+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right)+ \\
& \left.\int_{\Gamma^{+}} d k S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k) f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l^{\prime}+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right)\right]+ \\
& \sum_{n} B_{l}\left(\gamma, k_{n j}\right) B_{l^{\prime}}\left(\gamma, k_{n j}\right) f_{l+}\left(k_{n j}, r\right) f_{l^{\prime \prime+}}\left(k_{n j}, r^{\prime}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $\int_{\Gamma^{+}}$is the integral over the infinitely large semicircle above the real axis, $\oint_{k_{n}}$ is the integral over an infinitesimal circle around an isolate singular point, $\oint$ is the integral over a contour which envelops a non$\gamma_{p}$
isolate singularity (for instance, over the edges of the cut conducted for a branch point). Since all these contours are independent, equality (34) is equivalent to the following system of equalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \left[-i\left(l+l^{\prime}\right) \pi / 2\right] \oint_{k_{n j}} d k S_{l l^{\prime \prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k) f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l^{\prime}+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right)  \tag{35}\\
& =B_{l} B_{l^{\prime}} f_{l+}\left(k_{n j}, r\right) f_{l^{\prime}+}\left(k_{n j}, r^{\prime}\right) \\
& \exp \left[-i\left(l+l^{\prime}\right) \pi / 2\right] \oint_{k_{V}} d k S_{l l^{\prime \prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k) f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l^{\prime}+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right)  \tag{36}\\
& =\oint_{k_{V}} d k f_{l-}(k, r) f_{l^{\prime \prime+}}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right) \delta_{l l^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left[-i\left(l+l^{\prime}\right) \pi \quad / 2\right] \oint_{\gamma_{p}} d k S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k) f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l^{\prime}+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=\oint_{\gamma_{p}} d k f_{l^{+}}(k, r) f_{l^{\prime}-}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right) \delta_{l^{\prime} l},
$$

$$
\int_{\Gamma} d k S_{I I^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k) e^{i k\left(r+r^{\prime}\right)}=0 .
$$

Quite similar to the previous cases of the central unknown interactions inside the sphere $r \leq a$, it follows from equation (56) that all the elements $S_{I I^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ have in $D^{+}$poles of the first order (for $\gamma=\operatorname{Re} \gamma$ they are situated on the half-axis Im $k>0$ and correspond to the bound states) with the residues

$$
\exp \left[-\mathrm{i}\left(l+l^{\prime}\right) \pi \quad / 2\right](2 \pi \mathrm{i})^{-1} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{l^{\prime}}
$$

Directly from equation (57) it follows that the diagonal elements $S_{I I}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ must have in $D^{+}$additional isolated singularities which coincide with those isolated singularities $f_{l-}(k, r)$ in $D^{+}$, near which equation (22) is valid also here, with the function $D_{m}(k)$ which also does not depend on $r$ and has an isolate singular point $k_{m}$.Similarly, it follows from eq.(58) that the diagonal elements $S_{l l}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ must have in $D^{+}$branch points and nonisolated singularities which coincide with the appropriate singularities of $f_{l-}(k, r)$ in $D^{+}$.

As it was previously made for the unknown central interactions inside the small sphere of radius $a$, we consider several cases of potential tails in the external region with $r \geq a$.

When there is only a centrifugal barrier there, then equations (8a) and (9a) are valid and according to equations (47)-(50) all the functions $\tilde{S}_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)=S_{l l^{\prime \prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k) \exp (2 i k a)$ are regular and limited everywhere in $D^{+}$except the isolated points. No poles can appear on the half-axis Re $r$ because of the conditions (36a,b) and also because of the finite values of $R_{l^{\prime} l}^{j(+)}(\gamma, k, r)$ at the point $k=0$.

It is easy to conclude from the finite value of $R_{l^{\prime} l}^{j(+)}(\gamma, k, r)$ for $k \rightarrow 0$ by recalling the known behavior of $f_{l \pm}(k, r)$ and of $h_{l}^{(1,2)}(k r)=j_{l}(k r) \pm i n_{l}(k r)$ at the point $k \rightarrow 0$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k) \underset{k \rightarrow 0}{\rightarrow} \delta_{l l^{\prime}}\left[1+\mathrm{O}\left(k^{l_{>}+1}\right)\right]+\left[1-\delta_{l l^{\prime}}\right] \mathrm{O}\left(k^{l_{>}+1}\right), \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{>}$is the larger of the two numbers $l$ and $l^{\prime}$.
One can determine the analytic continuation of the functions $S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ in $D^{-}$as usual on the basis of the symmetry condition (47) and the general theorem on the analytic continuation.

Solving system (46) with the use of (47) and (48) relatively to $S_{l \prime^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma,-k)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{l l}^{j}(\gamma,-k)=S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k) / d_{j}(g, k),  \tag{40}\\
& S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma,-k)=-S_{l l \prime^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k) / d_{j}(g, k)
\end{align*}
$$

(with $l \neq l^{\prime}$ and $d_{j}(\gamma, k)=S_{l l}^{j}(\gamma, k) S_{l^{\prime} l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)-\left[S_{I l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)\right]^{2}$ ), from which we can see that in $D^{-}$all the elements $S_{I l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ have the same poles $k_{n j}$ (on the half-axis $\operatorname{Im} k<$ 0 ), $k_{s}$ (in the 4-th quadrant), $k_{s^{\prime}}$ (in the 3 -rd quadrant), which correspond to the zeros of the function $d_{j}$ in $D^{+}$, and also the zeros $-k_{n j}$, which correspond to the poles $k_{n j}$ in $D^{+}$. Besides that, every diagonal element $S_{I l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ can have additional poles on the half-axis $\operatorname{Re} k<0\left(k_{\mu}\right)$, in the 4-th quadrant ( $k_{\sigma}$ ) and in the 3-rd quadrant ( $k_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ ), which correspond to the zeros $-k_{\mu},-k_{\sigma}$ and $-k_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ of two
functions $S_{l l}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ and $S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ in $D^{+}$. Moreover, one can conclude from the formulae (48) that the zeros $k_{p}$ (on the axis $\operatorname{Im} k$ ), $k_{r}$ (on the axis Re $k$ ), $k_{t}$ (in the 1 -st and 4 -th quadrants) and $k_{t^{\prime}}$ (in the 2-nd and 3-rd quadrant) of the diagonal element $S_{I l}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ correspond to the zeros $-k_{p},-$ $k_{r},-k_{t}$ and $-k_{t^{\prime}}$ of the second diagonal element $S_{l^{\prime} \prime^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)$, $l^{\prime} \neq l$, and also that the zeros of the non-diagonal element $S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k), l^{\prime} \neq l$, can appear only in pairs $\pm k_{\pi}$ (on the halfaxis $\operatorname{Im} k$ ), $\pm k_{\rho}$ (on the half-axis Re $k$ ), $\pm k_{t}$ (in the rest of the complex plane). Evidently, the last assertion is true for those zeros which are not general zeros of all the elements $S_{I l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)$.

In the considered case, $S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ cannot have any singular points in $D^{-}$besides poles, since there will be a singular point $-k_{x}$ of $S_{l^{\prime} l}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ in $D^{+}$for every singular point $k_{x}$ of $S_{l^{\prime} l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ in $D^{-}$because of (61), but this is in contradiction with our previous result on the analyticity of $S_{l^{\prime} \prime^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ in $D^{+}$. Thus all the elements $S_{l^{\prime} l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ are meromorphic functions and consequently they can be represented in the form of a ratio of two integer analytic functions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{S}_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)=A_{l l l^{\prime}}(\gamma, k) \exp \left[g_{l l \prime^{\prime}}(k)\right] \prod_{n} \frac{1+k / k_{n l}}{1-k / k_{n l}} \\
\prod_{m, s, s^{\prime}, p, r, t, t^{\prime}} \frac{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(1-k / k_{p}\right)\left(1-k / k_{r}\right) \\
\left(1-k / k_{t}\right)\left(1-k / k_{t^{\prime}}\right)
\end{array}\right]}{\left(1-k / k_{m}\right)\left(1-k / k_{s}\right)\left(1-k / k_{s^{\prime}}\right)} \tag{41}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A_{l l^{\prime}}=\delta_{l l^{\prime}}+\left(1-\delta_{l l^{\prime}}\right) C k^{l_{>}+1}, C=i \operatorname{Im} C$ is a constant, the topology of the poles $k_{n j}, k_{m}, k_{s}, k_{s^{\prime}}$ and of the zeros $-k_{n j}, k_{p}, k_{r}, k_{t}, k_{t^{\prime}}$ was specified before, $g_{I I^{\prime}}(k)=u_{I l^{\prime}}(k)+i \theta_{l l^{\prime}}(k)$.

The real function $u_{l l^{\prime}}(k)$ must be non-positive in $D^{+}$ because of the analyticity of $\tilde{S}_{I l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ (and, consequently, the convergence of the infinite products of (62) in $D^{+}$) and must be non-negative in $D^{-}$owing to (61). Then the Cauchy-Riemann conditions

$$
0 \geq \partial u_{l l I^{\prime}} / \partial \operatorname{Im} k=-\partial \theta_{l l^{\prime}} / \partial k(\operatorname{Im} k=0)
$$

must be satisfied on the real axis. From these conditions one can conclude that the function $\theta_{l l^{\prime}}(k)$ is monotonically increasing and reaches a real value not more than once. Then $g_{I l^{\prime}}(k)==u_{I l^{\prime}}(k)+i \theta_{I l^{\prime}}(k)$ reaches any imaginary value not more than once, and hence must be a linear function: $g_{l l^{\prime}}(k)=2 i \beta_{l l^{\prime}}(k)+\gamma_{l l^{\prime}}(k)$. Evidently $\beta_{l l^{\prime}}(k) \geq 0$ and, since $S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, 0)=\delta_{l l^{\prime}}, \gamma_{l l^{\prime}}=0$. Thus, considering that $\beta_{l l^{\prime}}=\left(\beta_{l l}+\beta_{l l^{\prime}}\right) / 2$ owing to (52)61, we obtain the following final expression

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)=A_{l l^{\prime}}(\gamma, k) \exp \left[-i\left(\alpha_{l}+\alpha_{l^{\prime}}\right] \prod_{n} \frac{1+k / k_{n l}}{1-k / k_{n l}}\right. \\
\prod_{m, s, s^{\prime}, p, r, t, t^{\prime}} \frac{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(1-k / k_{p}\right)\left(1-k / k_{r}\right) \\
\left(1-k / k_{t}\right)\left(1-k / k_{t^{\prime}}\right)
\end{array}\right]}{\left(1-k / k_{m}\right)\left(1-k / k_{s}\right)\left(1-k / k_{s^{\prime}}\right)}, \tag{42}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\alpha_{l}=a-\beta_{l} \leq a$.Considering (63) and on the basis of (46),(47), we can write

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{l \prime^{\prime}}^{j}\left(\gamma^{\bullet}, k\right)=A_{I l^{\prime}}\left(\gamma^{\bullet}, k\right) \exp \left[-i\left(\alpha_{l}+\alpha_{l^{\prime}}\right] \prod_{n} \frac{1+k / k_{n l}^{\bullet}}{1-k / k_{n l}^{\bullet}} .\right. \\
\prod_{m, s, s^{\prime}, p, r, t, t^{\prime}} \frac{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(1-k / k_{p}^{\bullet}\right)\left(1-k / k_{r}^{\bullet}\right) \\
\left(1-k / k_{t}^{\bullet}\right)\left(1-k / k_{t^{\prime}}\right)
\end{array}\right]}{} . \tag{42a}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the case of $\gamma=\operatorname{Re} \gamma$, the zeros appear in the pairs $\pm k_{r}$ and $k_{s^{\prime}}=-k_{s}^{*}, k_{t^{\prime}}=-k_{t}^{*}$ because of the symmetry condition (47) and then

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\operatorname{Re} \gamma, k)= A_{I l^{\prime}} \exp \left[-i\left(\alpha_{l}+\alpha_{l^{\prime}}\right] \prod_{n} \frac{1+k / k_{n l}}{1-k / k_{n l}}\right. \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(1-k / k_{p}\right)\left(1-k / k_{r}\right)^{2} \\
\left(1-k / k_{t}\right)\left(1-k / k_{t}^{\bullet}\right)
\end{array}\right] }  \tag{43}\\
& \prod_{m, s, s^{\prime}, p, r, t, t^{\prime}} \frac{\left[1-k / k_{m}\right)\left(1-k / k_{s}\right)\left(1-k / k_{s}^{\bullet}\right)}{(1-2}
\end{align*}
$$

It may appear a possible physical phenomenon of sharp enhancement of $S_{l \prime^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k)\left(l^{\prime} \neq l\right)$ in comparison with $S_{l l}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ near an isolated resonance, noted in [10,11] and described in Appendix V.

When $l=l^{\prime}, \lambda=0$ (particularly, $\gamma=\gamma_{\mathrm{c}}$ ),

$$
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}=-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{t}}=-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{t}^{\prime}}=-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}^{\prime}}
$$

the zeros $k_{r}$ are absent and then

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{l}\left(\gamma_{C}, k\right) \equiv S_{l l}^{l}\left(\gamma_{c}, k\right)=\exp \left[-2 i \alpha_{l} k\right] \prod_{n} \frac{1+k / k_{n l}}{1-k / k_{n l}} . \\
& \prod_{m, s, s^{\prime}} \frac{\left(1-k / k_{p}\right)\left(1-k / k_{r}\right)\left(1-k / k_{t}\right)\left(1-k / k_{t^{\prime}}\right)}{\left(1-k / k_{m}\right)\left(1-k / k_{s}\right)\left(1-k / k_{s^{\prime}}\right)} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

that corresponds to results [7]. In the particular case in which $l=l^{\prime}=j$ and $\gamma=\gamma_{c}$ we have also $k_{s^{\prime}}==-k_{s}{ }^{*}$ and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{l}\left(\operatorname{Re} \gamma_{c}, k\right)=\exp \left[-i 2 \alpha_{l} k\right] \prod_{n} \frac{1+k / k_{n l}}{1-k / k_{n l}} . \\
& \prod_{m, s} \frac{\left(1+k / k_{m}\right)\left(1+k / k_{s}\right)\left(1-k / k_{s}^{\bullet}\right)}{\left(1-k / k_{m}\right)\left(1-k / k_{s}\right)\left(1+k / k_{s}^{\bullet}\right)} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

that corresponds to the results [14].
If for $r>a$ there is a centrifugal barrier and a potential decreasing more rapidly than any exponential function, results (63) and (63a) are valid because in that case $f_{I \pm}(k, r)$ are also analytic in all the plane $k$ except the points $k=0$ and $k=\infty$ and for $|k| \rightarrow \infty$ have the limit $\exp [ \pm i k r]$ in all directions.

A possibility of sharp enhancement of $S_{l^{\prime} l}^{j}\left(l^{\prime} \neq \mathrm{l}\right)$ in comparison with $S_{l l}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ near an isolated resonance. Let assume that a factor like

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad S_{l l^{\prime}}^{j}(\gamma, k) \approx \frac{\delta_{l \prime^{\prime}}\left(E-E_{t}^{(I l)}\right)+i \Gamma_{t}^{\left(l l^{\prime}\right)} / 2}{E-E_{s}+i \Gamma_{s} / 2}, l^{\prime}, l=0,1,(46) \\
& \text { where } \quad E_{t}^{(I l)}=\frac{\hbar^{2}\left|k_{t}^{(I I)}\right|^{2}}{2 \mu}, \quad E_{s}=\frac{\hbar^{2}\left|k_{s}\right|^{2}}{2 \mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Gamma_{t}^{(l l)}=-2 i k \operatorname{Im} k_{t}^{(l l)}, \quad \Gamma_{t}^{(00)}=-\Gamma_{t}^{(11)}, \Gamma_{s}=2 i k \operatorname{Im} k_{s}$, plays an essential role in (64) in some energy region. If $E_{t}^{(l l)} \approx E_{s}, \quad \Gamma_{1 s}^{2}+\Gamma_{2 s}^{2}=\Gamma_{s}^{2}, \quad$ where $\quad \Gamma_{1 s}^{2}=\left(\Gamma_{t}^{(I I)}\right)^{2}$, $\Gamma_{2 s}^{2}=\left(\Gamma_{t}^{\left(l^{\prime} l\right)}\right)^{2}$ for $l^{\prime} \neq l$, (46) is fulfilled and the scattering cross section is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{e l} \approx \frac{\pi}{k^{2}} \frac{\left(\Gamma_{s}-\Gamma_{1 s}\right)^{2} / 4+\Gamma_{2 s}^{2} / 4}{\left(E-E_{s}\right)^{2}+\Gamma_{s}^{2} / 4}, \text { small } k \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\Gamma_{1 s} \ll \Gamma_{2 s} \approx \Gamma_{s}$ it may happen a sharp enhancement of $S_{l^{\prime} l}^{j}\left(l^{\prime} \neq l\right)$ in comparison with $S_{l l}^{j}(\gamma, k)$ at the resonance. In the extreme case in which $\Gamma_{1 s}=0$, a resonance of $S_{l^{\prime} l}^{j}\left(l^{\prime} \neq l\right)$ corresponds to an anti-resonance of $S_{l l}^{j}(\gamma, k)$. Therefore, the influence of non-central or parity-violating interactions in these resonance regions may be essential even if their strength is very small (but, of course, non-zero).

## 5. The Simonius Representation of the Multi-channel S-matrix Any Interactions Inside the Sphere $r>a$

Sometimes there is rather often used the Simonius parametrization of the $S$-matrix in the energy representation are used [23]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{S}^{(\alpha)}=\hat{U}^{(\alpha)} \prod_{v}\left(1-\frac{i \Gamma_{v}^{(\alpha)} \hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha)}}{E-E_{V}^{(\alpha)}+i \Gamma_{v}^{(\varepsilon)} / 2}\right) \hat{U}^{(\alpha) T}, \\
& \hat{U}^{(\alpha)} \hat{U}^{(\alpha)^{*}}=1, \hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha)}=\hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha)^{*}}=\hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha) 2}  \tag{48}\\
& \operatorname{Trace} \hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha)}=1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Index $\alpha$ in (48) signifies the set of quantum numbers of conserved quantities (usually $\alpha=\{\mathrm{J}, \Pi\}$, where J and $\Pi$ are the quantum numbers of the total momentum (spin) and parity of the system). In this parametrization, resonances are described by the general poles of the all elements of the $S$-matrix. According to the causality these poles must be located in the lower half-plane of the complex plane $E$ (in order to describe the decays of the resonance states).

The Simonius parametrization (48) was obtained in [23], coming from the general principles of unitarity, meromorphy and T-invariance of the $S$-matrix. With this, in [23] it was noted that there is a practical difficulty of the explicit considering of T-invariance in the general case of non-symmetric and non-commuting with each other
projectors $\hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha)}$. This parametrization is the mostly convenient for overlapping and strongly overlapping resonances and was below utilized for revealing the time resonances (explosions) of compounds clots and nuclei in high-energy nuclear reactions at the range of strongly overlapping energy resonances.

It was shown in [24] that when the projectors $\hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha)}$ do not depend on the values of any other resonance parameters ( $E_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}$ and $\Gamma_{V}^{(\varepsilon)}$ ), then $\hat{S}^{(\alpha)}=\hat{S}^{(\alpha) T}$. Really, in that case one can rewrite the resonance part $\hat{S}_{r e s}^{(\alpha)} \equiv \prod_{v=1}^{\Lambda^{(\alpha)}}\left(1-\frac{i \Gamma_{v}^{(\alpha)} P_{v}^{(\alpha)}}{E-E_{V}^{(\alpha)}+i \Gamma_{V}^{(\alpha)} / 2}\right)$ in the form of a sum

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{S}_{r e s}^{(\alpha)}=1-i \sum_{v} \frac{\Gamma_{v}^{(\alpha)} P_{v}^{(\alpha)}}{E-E_{v}^{(\alpha)}+i \Gamma_{v}^{(\alpha)} / 2} \\
& -\sum_{v^{\prime}>v} \frac{\Gamma_{v}^{(\alpha)} \Gamma_{v^{\prime}}^{(\alpha)} \hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha)} \hat{P}_{v^{\prime}}^{(\alpha)}}{\left(E-E_{v}^{(\alpha)}+i \Gamma_{v}^{(\alpha)} / 2\right)\left(E-E_{v^{\prime}}^{(\alpha)}+i \Gamma_{v^{\prime}}^{(\alpha)} / 2\right)}+\ldots \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be transformed to the expansion:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{S}_{r e s}^{(\alpha)}=1-i \sum_{v} \frac{i G_{v}^{(\alpha)}}{E-E_{v}^{(\alpha)}+i \Gamma_{v}^{(\alpha)} / 2}  \tag{49a}\\
G_{v}^{(\alpha)}=\Gamma_{v}^{(\alpha)} P_{v}^{(\alpha)} \\
-i \sum_{v^{\prime}>v} \frac{\Gamma_{v}^{(\alpha)} \Gamma_{v^{\prime}}^{(\alpha)} \hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha)} \hat{P}_{v^{\prime}}^{(\alpha)}}{E_{v}^{(\alpha)}-E_{v^{\prime}}^{(\alpha)}+i\left(\Gamma_{v}^{(\alpha)}-\Gamma_{v^{\prime}}^{(\alpha)}\right) / 2} \\
-i \sum_{v^{\prime \prime}<v} \frac{\Gamma_{v}^{(\alpha)} \Gamma_{v^{\prime \prime}}^{(\alpha)} \hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha)} \hat{P}_{v^{\prime \prime}}^{(\alpha)}}{E_{v}^{(\alpha)}-E_{v^{\prime \prime}}^{(\alpha)}+i\left(\Gamma_{v}^{(\alpha)}-\Gamma_{v^{\prime \prime}}^{(\alpha)}\right) / 2}+\ldots
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking into account (49a) and the T-invariance of the expression (49) for $\hat{S}^{(\alpha)}$, one can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{S}_{r e s}^{(\alpha)}=\hat{S}_{r e s}{ }^{(\alpha) T} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then one can further rewrite (49) in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{V}^{(\alpha)}=G_{V}^{(\alpha) T} \quad v=1,2, \ldots, \Lambda^{(\alpha)} . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations (50) are in general too bulky as correlations between the matrices $\hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha)}$ with different $v$. But if the $\hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha)}$ do not depend on the values of $E_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}$ and $\Gamma_{v}^{(\varepsilon)}$, then the relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha)}=\hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha) T}  \tag{52}\\
\hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha)} \hat{P}_{v^{\prime}}^{(\alpha)}=\hat{P}_{v^{\prime}}^{(\alpha)} \hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha)}, v, v^{\prime}=1,2, \ldots, \Lambda^{(\alpha)} . \tag{53}
\end{gather*}
$$

(i.e. the matrices $\hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha)}$ will be symmetric and commute with each other) are the direct consequences of (51). By the way, such simplification (the independence of $\hat{P}_{V}^{(\alpha)}$ from any other resonance parameters) is justified at least when $\Lambda^{(\alpha)}$ and the number $N$ of open channels are very large. And then it follows from the properties (51)
and $\hat{P}_{V}^{(\alpha)}=\hat{P}_{V}^{(\alpha) *}=\hat{P}_{V}^{(\alpha) 2}$, Trace $\hat{P}_{V}^{(\alpha)}=1$ (from (49) ) that the $\hat{P}_{V}^{(\alpha)}$ are real, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha)}=\hat{P}_{v}^{(\alpha) \bullet} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6. Duration of Resonance Processes of Many-channel Scattering

If to exclude the small threshold regions with their characteristic, then it is possible to utilize the Simonius representation [23] for the many-channel $S$-matrix:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{S}^{(J)}(E)=\hat{U}^{(J)} \prod_{v=1}^{N}\left(1-\frac{i \Gamma_{v}^{(J)}}{E-E_{v}^{(J)}+i \Gamma_{V}^{(J)} / 2}\right) \hat{U}^{(J) T}, \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the unitary matrix $\hat{U}^{(J)}$ and the projection matrixes $\hat{P}_{V}^{(J)}\left(\hat{P}_{v}^{(J)}=\hat{P}_{V}^{(J) \bullet}=P_{V}^{(J) 2}, \operatorname{Tr} \hat{P}_{v}^{(J)}=1\right)$ are practically do not depend on energy, $\left(\hat{U}^{(J) T}\right)_{i j}=U_{i j}^{(J)} \quad(i, j=1,2, \ldots, n)$, $n$ is the number of the open channels, $\tilde{S}^{(J)}=\hat{U}^{(J)} \hat{U}^{(J) T}$ is a symmetric background (non-resonance) $S$-matrix, $\tilde{S}_{i j}^{(J)}=\tilde{S}_{j i}^{(J) T}, \sum_{k=1}^{n} \tilde{S}_{i k}^{(J)} \tilde{S}_{j k}^{(J) \bullet}=\delta_{i j}$. Representation (48) is suitable, precisely speaking, only for the two-channel (binary) reactions. It has such preference that in it, in difference from the representations with the additive sets of the resonance terms, is evidently considered the propriety of the unitarity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} S_{i k}^{(J)} S_{j k}^{(J) \bullet}=\delta_{i j} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Utilizing hermitian matrix

$$
\hat{Q}^{(J)}(E)=i \hbar \hat{S}^{(J)}(E) \frac{d \hat{S}^{(J)}(E)}{d E}
$$

introduced in [25], it is not difficult to show, considering (48), the validity of the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\operatorname{Tr} Q^{(J)}\right) E\right)=\sum_{v=1}^{N} \frac{\Gamma_{v}^{(J)}}{\left(E-E_{V}^{(J)}\right)^{2}+\left(\Gamma_{V}^{(J)}\right)^{2} / 4} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

which does not depend on matrixes $\hat{U}^{(J)}$ and $\hat{P}_{v}^{(J)}$, i.e. from the smooth (practically constant) background, connected with the potential scattering and the direct reactions. We can average (75) inside the region, in which the resonances are situated, by the procedure $<>$. Then, supposing that the interval $\Delta E$ contains the set of resonances with $\Delta E \gg \Gamma_{J} \gg D_{J}$, where $\Gamma_{J}$ and $D_{J}$ are the mean width and the mean distance between the $J$ resonances, then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left\langle\hat{Q}^{(J)}(E)\right\rangle=\mathcal{T}_{J} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{J}=2 \pi \hbar / D_{J}$ is the time of the Poincare cycle (more strictly, the time of the Poincare cycle for such system with equidistant distribution of purely discrete levels, for which $\left|E_{v+1}^{(J)}-E_{v}^{(J)}\right|=D_{J}$ and $\Gamma_{J}=0$ ). Utilized the transformations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i \hbar<S_{i j}^{(J)} d S_{i j}^{(J) \bullet} / d E> \\
& =\hbar<\left|S_{i j}^{(J)}\right|^{2}><\Delta \tau_{i j}^{(J)}> \\
& +i \hbar<d\left|S_{i j}^{(J)}\right|^{2} / d E>/ 2, \\
& i \neq j, \\
& i \hbar<S_{i i}^{(J)}\left(d S_{i i}^{(J) \bullet} / d E\right)> \\
& =\hbar<\left|1-S_{i i}^{(J)}\right|^{2}><\Delta \tau_{i i}^{(J)}> \\
& +\hbar \operatorname{Im}<d S_{i i}^{(J)} / d E> \\
& +i \hbar<d\left|S_{i i}^{(J)}\right|^{2} / d E>/ 2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where it is possible to neglect by the quantity Im $\left\langle d S_{i i}^{(J)} / d E\right\rangle$ for sufficiently large $\Delta E$ in the approximation of the random phases, and the equality $<d\left|S_{i j}^{(J)}\right|^{2} / d E>=0$ is directly follows from the unitarity (55), we obtain from (57) the following two sum rules for $<\Delta \tau_{i j}^{(J)}>$ and $<\Delta \tau_{i}^{J}>$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i, j}<\Delta \tau_{i j}^{(J)}><\left|S_{i j}^{(J)}-\delta_{i j}\right|^{2}>=\mathcal{T}_{J}  \tag{58a}\\
\sum_{i}<\Delta \tau_{i}^{(J)}>\left[1-\mathrm{Re}<S_{i i}^{(J)}>\right]=\mathcal{T}_{J} / 2 . \tag{58b}
\end{gather*}
$$

If we assume the equal durations in all the channels, i.e. $\left\langle\Delta \tau_{i}^{(J)}\right\rangle=\left\langle\Delta \tau_{j i}^{(J)}\right\rangle=\left\langle\Delta \tau^{(J)}>\quad(i, j=1,2, \ldots, n)\right.$, then in the approximation $\left\langle S_{i i}^{(J)}\right\rangle \approx 0$ (that, as will be seen later, can be take place for $\Gamma_{J} \gg n D_{J} / 2 \pi$ in so called approximation of the equivalent entrance channels [26], we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Delta \tau^{(J)}\right\rangle \cong \mathcal{T}_{J} / 2 n \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\sum_{i} \operatorname{Re}<S_{i i}^{(J)}>=n-1$, that is possible in so called Newton case of the total correlation between the decay amplitudes of all resonances in the approximation of equal projection matrixes $\hat{P}_{v}^{(J)}=\hat{P}_{J} \quad(v=1,2, \ldots, N) \quad$ and $\hat{U}^{(J)}=1$, when the unitary S-matrix has the form $\hat{S}^{(J)}=\hat{1}+\left[\exp \left(2 i \delta_{J}-1\right] \hat{P}_{J}\right.$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Delta \tau^{(J)}\right\rangle=\mathcal{T}_{J} / 2 \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result (79) was obtained in [27].
If $\operatorname{Re}\left\langle S_{i i}^{(J)}\right\rangle=1-\pi \Gamma_{J} / n D_{J}$, which, as will be seen later, can take place for $\Gamma_{J} \ll n D_{J} / 2 \pi$, then $\left\langle\Delta \tau^{(J)}\right\rangle=\hbar / \Gamma_{J}$, as in the case of one isolated resonance with the total width $\Gamma_{J} \ll \Delta E$.

If we start from the direct definition for $\left\langle\Delta \tau_{i j}^{(J)}\right\rangle$ for usual simplifications of central and spin-less particles, one can use partial time delays $\left\langle\Delta \tau^{(J)}{ }_{f i}(E)\right\rangle$, defined as [28]. (formula (4) in [37]).

Then in the approximation $\hat{P}_{v}^{(J)}=\hat{P}_{J}(v=1,2, \ldots, N)$, it is not difficult to show that under condition $\Delta E \gg \Gamma_{J} \gg$ $D_{J}$ (see also [28])

$$
\hat{S}^{(J)}=\tilde{S}^{(J)}-\hat{\alpha}^{(J)}+\hat{\alpha}^{(J)} \prod_{v} \frac{E-E_{V}^{(J)}-i \Gamma_{v}^{(J)}}{E-E_{V}^{(J)}+i \Gamma_{v}^{(J)}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\Delta \tau_{i j}^{(J)}\right\rangle=\left|\alpha_{i j}^{(J)}\right|^{2} \mathcal{T}_{J /[ }\left[\tilde{S}_{i j}^{(J)}-\left.\alpha_{i j}^{(J)}\right|^{2}\right], i \neq j ; \text { (61a) } \\
& \left\langle\Delta \tau_{i i}^{(J)}\right\rangle=\left|\alpha_{i i}^{(J)}\right|^{2} \mathcal{T}_{J /\left[\left[1-\tilde{S}_{i i}^{(J)}+\left.\alpha_{i i}^{(J)}\right|^{2}\right],\right.} \text { (61b) } \tag{61b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i j}^{(J)}=\left(\hat{U}^{(J)} \hat{P}_{J} \hat{U}^{(J) T}\right)_{i j}$ with $\sum_{i, j}\left|\alpha_{i j}^{(J)}\right|^{2}=1$. It is directly follows from the unitarity $\hat{U}^{(J)}$.

In [24] (see also [28]) it had been derived the third sum rule, connecting mean time delay for the compound nucleus, dispersion of time-delays distributions for compound nuclei with the mean resonance density $\rho_{J}$ and $\Gamma_{J}$. It extends the possibilities of the study main properties of the compound nuclei (the level density $\rho^{\text {(JSI) }}$ and the mean total resonance width $\Gamma^{(\mathrm{JST})}$ ) and the decay laws of the compound nucleus in the range of un-resolved resonances.

## 7. The Manifestation of the Time Resonances (explosions) of Compounds Clots and Nuclei in High-energy Nuclear Reactions at the Range of Strongly Overlapping Energy Resonances

Introduction. In the wide energy region of the bombarding particles more $1-10 \mathrm{GeV}$ /nucleon (see, for instance, [29-35]) and for the great their number (from $p$ till ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Ne}$ ), number of targets and of the registered final fragments there are observed the exponentially decreasing inclusive (and some times non inclusive) energy spectra without structure. For more heavy bombarding particles such phenomena are observed also smaller energies (see, for instance, [36]). For the analysis of such reactions with heavy ions with energies till 1 GeV /nucleon one can use in a certain degree the fireball model and also the model of intra-nuclear cascade [37] and the model of nuclear fluid [38] works for more high energies in the supposition of the high-dense collision-complexes formation. Between the difficulties of the fireball models there is a problem, why even for high excitations (more than 100 $\mathrm{MeV} /$ nucleon) there is formed the statistical equilibrium. In [39] there was proposed other model of "time compound nucleus" for the alternative explanation of high-energy nuclear reactions. This model utilized the preliminary results of eigen states of time operator in the Hamiltonian approach [40]. It was based on the introduction of the formal similarity between the metastable states with the eigen complex energies as the eigen
states of the Schroedinger equation and the correspondent Fourier transformations with complex eigen values for the equation with time operator, canonically conjugate to the Hamiltonian. This model was only the initial step to the time-dependent approach and was not sufficiently justified.

We proposed a new version of the time-evolution approach, starting not only from the principal ideas [41,42] but also from the known correspondence between the exponential decreasing of behavior of any quantity in any (time or energy) representation and the Lorentzian behavior of its Fourier transformation in the canonically conjugate (i.e. energy or time) representation and then utilizing the results, obtained in $[24,28]$ for the properties of compound nuclei in the range of the non-resolved strongly overlapped energy resonances. Here we introduce concretely the phenomenon of time resonance and it is explained the similarity between energy and time resonances. And also there are analyzed the energy and time properties of compound nuclei which are connected with the explosions of time resonances in the evolution decay of final particles.

The theoretical origin of time resonances (explosions). Our theoretical approach is based on [28,42,43]. So far let us choose the reaction amplitude $f_{\alpha \beta}(E)$ and $T$-matrix ( $E$ ) in such forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\alpha \beta}(\mathrm{E})=\mathrm{C}_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \exp \left(-E \tau_{\mathrm{n}} / 2 \hbar+\mathrm{iEt} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}} / \hbar\right) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\exp \left(-E \tau_{n} / 2 \hbar+i E t_{n} / \hbar\right), \tag{62a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here in the certain energy region $E_{\text {min }}<E<\infty$, where $\tau_{n}$ and $t_{n}$ are constants (with the dimension of time), $\tau_{n}$ and $t_{n}$ define the exponential dependence on energy for the corresponding cross section and the linear dependence from energy for the amplitude phase, respectively. $\tilde{T}_{\alpha \beta}^{n}$ is the constant or the very smooth function (inside $\Delta E$ ) on energy $E$ of the final particle. A resonant structure of $\tilde{T}_{\alpha \beta}^{n}$ we so far do not taken evidently into account, supposing it the totally averaged in the limits of the energy spread (or resolution) $\Delta E$, supposing that $\Delta E \ll 2 \hbar / \tau_{n}$.

In this case it is possible to write the following equation (see also [28])

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{\beta}\left(R_{\beta}, t\right) \\
& \cong \int_{E_{\min }}^{\infty} d E^{\prime} A^{\prime} \exp \left[-E^{\prime} \tau_{n} / 2 \hbar+i E^{\prime}\left(t_{n}-t\right) / \hbar\right], \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A^{\prime}=\tilde{T}_{\alpha \beta} g\left(E^{\prime}\right)$. Utilizing the simplest rectangular form of $g\left(E^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
g\left(E^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\Delta E)^{-1 / 2} \exp (i \arg g) \\
\text { for } E_{\min } \leq E-\Delta E / 2<E^{\prime}<E+\Delta E / 2,(64) \\
0 \\
\text { for } E^{\prime}<E-\Delta E / 2 \text { and } E^{\prime}>E+\Delta E / 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\arg g$ is the smooth function of $E$ inside $\Delta E$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{\beta}\left(R_{\beta}, t\right) \\
& =\frac{\text { const }}{t-t_{n}+i \tau_{n} / 2} \exp \left[E\left(-\tau_{n} / 2+i\left(t_{n}-t\right)\right) / \hbar\right]  \tag{65}\\
& \cdot\left[\exp \left[\begin{array}{l}
\Delta E\left(-\tau_{n} / 2+i\left(t_{n}-t\right) / 2 \hbar\right) \\
-\exp \left[-\Delta E\left(-\tau_{n} / 2+i\left(t_{n}-t\right) / 2 \hbar\right)\right.
\end{array}\right]\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

If all energies in the large interval, beginning from $E_{\text {min }}$, are totally filled, i.e.

$$
\begin{cases}(E+\Delta E / 2) \tau_{n} / 2 & \rightarrow \infty \text { and }  \tag{66}\\ E-\Delta E / 2 & \rightarrow E_{\min }\end{cases}
$$

then we arrive to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{\beta}\left(R_{\beta}, t\right) \\
& =\frac{\text { const }}{t-t_{n}+i \tau_{n} / 2} \exp \left[E_{\text {min }}\left(-\tau_{n} / 2+i\left(t_{n}-t\right)\right) / \hbar\right] \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

It is natural to call such behavior $\Psi_{\beta}\left(R_{\beta}, t\right)$ be time resonance due to the Lorentzian form of factor $\frac{1}{t-t_{n}+i \tau_{n} / 2}$ in (67), or explosion (for small values of $\left.\tau_{n}\right)$. And inversely, if $\Psi_{\beta}\left(R_{\beta}, t\right)$ has the form (67), the Fourier transformation $\Psi_{\beta}\left(R_{\beta}, t\right)$ will be equal

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t \Psi_{\beta}\left(R_{\beta}, t\right) \exp (i E t / \hbar)  \tag{68}\\
& =\text { const } \cdot \exp \left[-E \tau_{n} / 2 \hbar+i E t_{n} / \hbar+E_{\min } \tau_{n} / 2 \hbar\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

It is proportional to the amplitude (62).
For $z_{\beta}>R_{\beta}$ it is possible to re-write (63) in a following way:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{\beta}\left(z_{\beta}, t\right) \\
& =\int_{E_{\min }}^{\infty} d E^{\prime} f_{\alpha \beta}^{n} N_{\beta} \exp \left(i k z_{\beta}\right) g\left(E^{\prime}\right) \exp \left[\begin{array}{l}
-E^{\prime} \tau_{n} / 2 \hbar \\
+i E^{\prime}\left(t_{n}-t\right) / \hbar
\end{array}\right] \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

For the small energy spread ( $\Delta E \ll E$ ), utilizing the function (64) for $g\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ and introducing a new variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=\sqrt{\frac{i \hbar\left(t-t_{n}-i \tau_{n} / 2\right)}{2 m_{\beta}}}\left[k-\frac{m_{\beta} z_{\beta}}{\hbar\left(t-t_{n}-i \tau_{n} / 2\right)}\right], \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

we finally obtain:

$$
\Psi_{\beta}\left(R_{\beta}, t\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { for } z_{\beta}>v\left(t-t_{n}-t_{i n}^{0}\right) ; \\
\operatorname{const} \cdot \exp \left[\begin{array}{l}
\left.i k r-\frac{i E\left(t-t_{n}-t_{n}^{0}-i \tau_{n} / 2\right)}{\hbar}\right] \\
-\Delta E A(t)
\end{array}\right] \\
\text { for } z_{\beta} \leq v\left(t-t_{n}-t_{i n}^{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
A(t)=\left[t-t_{n}-t o_{n}-z_{\beta} / v-i \tau_{n} / 2\right] / 2 \hbar
$$

The cross section, defined for the macroscopic distances, has the following exponential form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\alpha \beta}=\left|f_{\alpha \beta}\right|^{2}=\text { const } \cdot \exp \left(-E \tau_{\mathrm{n}} / \hbar\right) \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\tilde{T}_{\alpha \beta}$, or $f_{\alpha \beta}$, has the general form like

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\alpha \beta}=\sum_{n=1}^{v} f^{n}{ }_{\alpha \beta} \exp \left[-E \tau_{n} / 2 \hbar+i E t_{n} / \hbar\right] \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

with several terms ( $v=2,3, \ldots$ ), the cross section $\sigma_{\alpha \beta}=$ $\left|f_{\alpha \beta}\right|^{2}$ contains not only exponentially decreasing terms, but also oscillating terms with factors $\cos \left[E\left(t_{n}-t_{n},\right) / / \hbar\right]$ or $\sin \left[E\left(t_{n}-t_{n^{\prime}}\right) / \hbar\right]$. In the case of 2 terms $(v=2)$ in (73), formula (73) transforms in the following expression
$\sigma_{\alpha \beta}=\left|\mathbf{f}^{1}{ }_{\alpha \beta}\right|^{2} \exp \left(-E \tau_{1} / \hbar\right)+\left|\mathbf{f}^{2}{ }_{\alpha \beta}\right|^{2} \exp \left(-E \tau_{2} / \hbar\right)+$
$+2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{\mathrm{f}^{1}{ }_{\alpha \beta} \mathrm{f}^{2}{ }_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{*} \exp \left[\mathrm{iE}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}-\mathrm{t}_{2}\right) / \hbar-\mathrm{E}\left(\tau_{1}+\tau_{2}\right) / 2 \hbar\right]\right\}$
(where the terms with c $\Delta E$ can be neglected, if we suppose that $\Delta E t_{n} \ll E \tau_{n}$ и $\Delta E \tau_{n} \ll E t_{n}$ ).

The evolution of the survival of the compound nucleus (in the time moment $t$ after its formation) is described by the following function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{c}(t)=1-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} d t I(t) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I(t)$ is defined, relative to [28], by the probability of the emission (for time unit) in the proximity of the compound nucleus (near $z_{\beta}=R_{\beta}$ )

$$
I(t)=\frac{j_{\beta}\left(R_{\beta}, t\right)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} t j_{\beta}\left(R_{\beta}, t\right)}
$$

The initial moment $t_{0}$ current time it is natural to choose in the moment $t_{i n}{ }^{0}$ and to suppose that $t_{i n}{ }^{0}=0$. However it is necessary to consider indeterminacy $\delta t=\hbar / \Delta E$ of the duration of the initial wave packet before the collision. Therefore

$$
t_{0} \cong t_{n}^{0}-\delta t=-\delta t=-\hbar / \Delta E
$$

In the region of the time resonance (67) the function $L^{c}(t)$ is essentially non-exponential even in the approximation $t_{0}=0$. The qualitative form of $L^{c}(t)$ can be illustrated, as in [28], with the help of the strongly simplified examples for the very narrow interval near $t=$ $t_{n}$, and also for all the values of $t$, when

$$
\begin{align*}
& j_{\beta}\left(R_{\beta}, t\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left[\Psi_{\beta}\left(R_{\beta}, t\right) \cdot\left(i \hbar / m_{\beta}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\lim _{z_{\beta} \rightarrow R_{\beta}} \partial \Psi^{*}{ }_{\beta} / \partial\left(z_{\beta}, t\right) / \partial\left(z_{\beta}\right) \cong \bar{v}\left|\Psi_{\beta}\left(R_{\beta}, t\right)\right|^{2}\right] \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\bar{v}$ is defined by the integral theorem on the mean value, namely by the expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{E_{\min }}^{\infty} d E v A \exp \left(-E \tau_{n} / 2 \hbar\right) \\
& =\bar{v} \int_{E_{\min }}^{\infty} d E A \exp \left(-E \tau_{n} / 2 \hbar\right) \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

( $v$ appears here after the using (75)). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& I(t)=\frac{j_{\beta}\left(R_{\beta}, t\right)}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d t j_{\beta}\left(R_{\beta}, t\right)} \cong \frac{\left[\left(t-t_{n}\right)^{2}+\tau_{n}^{2} / 4\right]^{-1}}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d t\left[\left(t-t_{n}\right)^{2}+\tau_{n}^{2} / 4\right]^{-1}}  \tag{78}\\
& =\left(\tau_{n} / 2 \pi\right) \frac{1}{\left(t-t_{n}\right)^{2}+\tau_{n}^{2} / 4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{c}(t)=1-\mathrm{dt} \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& =1-(1 / \pi)[\arctan (y)]_{y=2 t_{0} / \tau_{n}}^{y=2\left(t-t_{n}-t_{0}\right) / \tau_{n}} . \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the curve arctan (y) has the form, depicted in Figure 1 in the case $2 t_{0} / \tau_{n} \rightarrow-\propto$ (the quantity $\tau_{n}$ is small) the function $L^{c}(t)$ has the form, depicted in Figure 2(the curve 1).


Figure 1. The function $\arctan (y)$ for $2 t_{0} / \tau_{n} \rightarrow-\infty$


Figure 2. $L^{c}(t)$ (the curve 1) and $I(t)$ (the curve 2)

In this case

$$
L^{c}(t)=1-\pi^{-1}\left[\arctan \left(2\left(t-t_{n}-t_{0}\right) / \tau_{n}\right)+\pi / 2\right] \text { (80a) }
$$

and

$$
L^{c}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { when } 0 \leq t<t_{n}=0\left(c-2 t_{0} / \tau_{n} \rightarrow \infty\right)  \tag{80b}\\
\text { and } 0, \text { when } \quad t \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

From the simple form of Figure 2 it is easy to see that $t_{n}$ can be interpreted as the Poincare period of internal motion of the compound nucleus (after its formation and before its decay), when $t_{n} \gg \tau_{n}$. Such behavior of $L^{c}(t)$ was studied in [28,41].

If precisely consider the compound-resonance structure of $T_{\alpha \beta}$, then the strongly non exponential form of $L^{c}(t)$ and $I(t)$ will take place, as it is depicted in Figure 2, for the strong overlapping of the energy resonances, when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mathrm{JS}_{\Pi}} \ll N_{\mathrm{JS}}^{\Pi} / 2 \pi \rho_{\mathrm{JS} \Pi} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\Gamma_{\mathrm{JS} \mathrm{\Pi}}$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{JS} \mathrm{\Pi}}$ are the mean resonance width and level density, $N_{\text {JSП }}$ is the number of open channels, JSП are the values of the total momentum, spin and parity, respectively). The small probability of the compoundnucleus decay for $t<t_{n}$ (inside the Poincare cycle) can be explained by the consequence of the multiply meta-stable states in the region of the overlapped energy resonances. In the case of several time resonances it can signify the
superposition of several strongly overlapped groups of energy resonances with different values of JSП in the same compound nucleus or the formation of several compound nuclei with the different numbers of participating nucleons.

In particular, for the inclusive energy spectra of the $k$-th final fragment it is possible to use the following expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{i n c, k}\left(E_{k}\right)=\left.\sum_{n=1}^{2} C_{n} \exp \left[\left(i t_{n}-\tau_{n} / 2\right) E_{k} / \hbar\right]\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{2}\left|C_{n}\right|^{2} \exp \left(-E_{k} \tau_{n} / \hbar\right)  \tag{82}\\
& +2 \operatorname{Re} C_{1}^{*} C_{2} \exp \left\{\left[i\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)-\left(\tau_{1}+\tau_{2}\right) / 2\right] E_{k} / \hbar\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

The comparison with the experimental data. For the analysis of the observed experimental spectra of a single final fragment it is necessary to sum (or average) the expressions like (74) or (82) over the subfamilies of the final states (with various quantum numbers JSП, where $J, L, S$ and $\Pi$ are quantum numbers of the total momentum, orbital momentum, spin and parity, respectively) and channels, sometimes coherently and sometimes incoherently. And for inclusive energy spectrum of $k$-th final fragment we shall use the expression (101).


Figure 3. The inclusive process $p+C \rightarrow{ }^{7} B e+X$ (protons of 2.1 GeV ), experimental data are taken from [38]: a) $\mathrm{C}_{1}=0.04, \mathrm{C}_{2}=0.36\left(\theta=90^{\circ}\right)$; b) $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ $0.35, \mathrm{C}_{2}=0.05\left(\theta=160^{\circ}\right)$


Figure 4. The inclusive process ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}+\mathrm{Ta} \rightarrow t+X$ ( $720 \mathrm{MeV} /$ nucleon), experimental data are taken from [39]: a) $C_{1}=0.18, C_{2}=1.02\left(\theta=60^{\circ}\right)$; b) $C_{1}=1.13$, $C_{2}=0.07\left(\theta=90^{\circ}\right)$

In Figure 3-Figure 6 are represented some calculated inclusive energy spectra $\sigma_{\text {inc,k }}\left(E_{k}\right)$ in the semi-logarithmic
scale in compare with the experimental data from [36,38,39].


Figure 5. The inclusive process ${ }^{20} N e+U \rightarrow p+X(1045 \mathrm{MeV} /$ nucleon $)$, experimental data are taken from [39]: a) $C_{1}=0.35, C_{2}=5.65\left(\theta=90^{\circ}\right)$; b) $C_{1}=5.65$, $C_{2}=0.35\left(\theta=150^{\circ}\right)$

a)

b)

Figure 6. The inclusive process ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}+{ }^{51} V \rightarrow p+X$ ( $41 \mathrm{MeV} /$ nucleon); experimental data are taken from [36]: a) $C_{1}=0.002, C_{2}=0.03$ ( $\theta=97^{\circ}$ ); b) $C_{1}=0.03, C_{2}=0.022\left(\theta=129^{\circ}\right)$

In Figure 3-Figure 6, $\theta$ is the detected angle of $k$-th fragment in emission. The values of $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ and $t_{2}-t_{1}$,
which were found in [39] from the fitting of theoretical curves to the experimental data, are written in Table 1.

Table 1. Parameters of time resonances for some inclusive spbctra

| Reaction | Energy of bomb. particle <br> GeV/nucleon | $\tau_{1}, 10^{-23} \mathrm{sec}$ | $\tau_{2}, 10^{-23} \mathrm{sec}$ | $t_{2}-t_{1}, 10^{-22} \mathrm{sec}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P+C \rightarrow{ }^{7} \mathrm{Be}+X$ | 2.1 | 10.45 | 17.0 | 5.95 |
| ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Ne}+\mathrm{Al} \rightarrow p+X$ | 0.393 | 0.1 | 0.99 | 1.7 |
| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}+\mathrm{Ta} \rightarrow t+X$ | 0.72 | 1.72 | 3.15 | 1.22 |
| ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Ne}+U \rightarrow p+X$ | 1.045 | 0.92 | 1.7 | 1.72 |
| ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Ar}+V \rightarrow p+X$ | 0.041 | 7.5 | 9.0 | 0.20 |
| ${ }^{132} \mathrm{Xe}+\mathrm{Au} \rightarrow p+X$ | 0.044 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 1.0 |
| ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Ne}+U \rightarrow p+X$ | 0.4 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 0.10 |
| ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Ne}+U \rightarrow d+X$ | 0.25 | 4.2 | 7.2 | 0.10 |



Figure 7. Inclusive energy spectrum of ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}+U \rightarrow p+X$, of $400 \mathrm{MeV} /$ nucleon, experimental data are taken from [43]

Since the inclination of energy spectra is essentially increases with the angle increasing, it signifies that the increasing contribution of the compound-nucleus states with larger values of $t_{n}$ and $\tau_{n}$ is connected with the formation of more heavy compound nuclei at the lesser velocity in $L$-system. It agrees with the observed in [33,35,39] phenomena of more clear oscillations for the intermediate emission angles.

It is possible that for the most easy compound system $(p+C)$, represented here, there is a superposition of the direct process (i.e. $n=0$ instead of $n=1$ ) and the time resonance ( $n=2$ ), since the difference $t_{2}-t_{1(0)}$ is noticeably larger than usually.

Later there were performed new calculations in [42] and their comparison with the experimental data from [43,44]. They are represented in Figure 7-Figure 8.


Figure 8. Inclusive energy spectrum of ${ }^{20} N e+U \rightarrow p+X$, of $400 \mathrm{MeV} /$ nucleon, experimental data are taken from [44]

The values of $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ and $t_{2}-t_{1}$ in sec, which were found in [42] from the agreement of theoretical curves with the experimental data, are represented in Table 2 and Table 3 for Figure 7 and Figure 8, respectively.

Table 2.

| $\theta$ | $\tau_{1}$ <br> $\left(10^{-23} \mathrm{~s}\right)$ | $\tau_{2}$ <br> $\left(10^{-23} \mathrm{~s}\right)$ | $\mathrm{t}_{2}-\mathrm{t}_{1}$ <br> $\left(10^{-23} \mathrm{~s}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| $60^{0}$ | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.25 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| $90^{0}$ | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.25 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| $120^{0}$ | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.25 | 2.3 | 2.3 |

Table 3.

| Table 3. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\theta$ | $\tau_{1}$ <br> $\left(10^{-23} \mathrm{~s}\right)$ | $\tau_{2}$ <br> $\left(10^{-23} \mathrm{~s}\right)$ | $\mathrm{t}_{2}-\mathrm{t}_{1}$ <br> $\left(10^{-23} \mathrm{~s}\right)$ | $\mathrm{c}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{2}$ |
| $30^{0}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 5 | 5 |
| $60^{0}$ | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.25 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| $90^{0}$ | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.25 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| $120^{0}$ | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.25 | 3.6 | 3.6 |

The explanation of the time-resonances structure in the cross sections of high-energy nuclear reactions in the region of the densely situated strongly overlapped energy resonances. How is it possible to explain the manipulations with relatively smooth energy behavior of the expressions (91) and (93) for the cross sections or the expressions (81) and (92) for $\mathrm{T}_{\alpha \beta}$ or $\mathrm{f}_{\alpha \beta}$, which correspond to time resonances and simultaneously to the experimental data on cross sections, although really the amplitudes have to fluctuate strongly with energy in the region of strongly overlapped energy resonances for extremely high energies? At first sight, in the region of high energies the structure of energy resonances has to vanish not only due to the "smoothing" by energy spreads (since $\Delta \mathrm{E} \gg \Gamma_{\mathrm{JS} \mathrm{\Pi}}, \rho_{\mathrm{JS} \mathrm{\Pi}}{ }^{-1}$ ), but also de facto due to the strong decreasing of the probability of the formation of the intermediate long-living many-nucleon states. The density of the compound-resonances is quickly increases,
beginning from the low-energy well resolved energy resonances where the various versions of the Fermi-gas model with the shell-model and collective-model corrections work rather successfully work. Only near 30$40 \mathrm{MeV} /$ nucleon in the compound system it is possible to expect the saturation effects and the further strong decreasing of the densities. However namely for these energies the resonances of another structure can appear. These resonances are connected with the local excitations of long-living intermediate many-quark-gluon states of the baryon subsystems (see [45]).

Let us consider the possibility of the abovementioned explanation of the structure of time resonances more attentively, limited ourselves only by the partial JSПamplitudes $T^{\text {JS }}{ }_{\alpha \beta}=\delta_{\alpha \beta}-S^{\text {JSП }}{ }_{\alpha \beta}$, where $S^{\text {JSП }}{ }_{\alpha \beta}$ is the element of the $S$-matrix.
As it was said above, for the sufficiently high energies if we neglect bound and virtual states and the threshold particularities we can describe the $S$-matrix by the analytic expression (67) when the indexes JSП (and now even J) were omitted for the simplicity, the unitary background (non-resonance) matrix $\hat{U}$ and the projection resonance matrix $\hat{P}_{n}\left(\hat{P}_{n}=\hat{P}_{n}{ }^{+}=\hat{P}_{n}{ }^{2}\right.$, Trace $\left.\hat{P}_{n}=1\right)$, slowly changing with the total energy $\varepsilon$ or almost did not depend on $\varepsilon, \hat{U}^{T}$ is the matrix, transposed to $\hat{U}$. For the simplest Baz'Newton conditions (see [27] and also [28]), when the fluctuations of $\hat{P}_{n}$ can be neglected ( $\hat{P}_{n}=<\hat{P}>$ ), the Simonius S-matrix acquires such a form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{S}=\hat{S}_{b}-\hat{a}\left(1-\prod_{n} \frac{\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{n}-i \Gamma_{n} / 2}{\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{n}+i \Gamma_{n} / 2}\right) \tag{83a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{S}_{b}=\hat{U} \hat{U}^{T}$ and $\hat{a}=\hat{U}<\hat{P}>\hat{U}^{T}$. The averaged on energy the $S$-matrix $\langle\hat{S}\rangle_{\Delta \varepsilon}$ in this case in accordance with [28]:

$$
<\hat{S}>_{\Delta \varepsilon}=\hat{S}_{b}-\hat{a}[1-\exp (-\pi \Gamma / \rho)]
$$

for unresolved resonances in $(\Delta E \gg \rho, \Gamma)$ and the fluctuating $S$-матрица $\hat{S}^{c}$ (or $S$-matrix of the compound nucleus) is equal

$$
\hat{S}^{c}=S-\langle S\rangle_{\Delta \varepsilon}=\hat{a}\left[\prod_{n} \frac{\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{n}-i \Gamma_{n} / 2}{\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{n}+i \Gamma_{n} / 2}-\exp (-\pi \Gamma \rho)\right] . \text { (84) }
$$

We repeat that $\hat{S}_{b}$ and $\hat{a}$ almost do not depend on energy (slowly change with energy). For the strongly overlapped resonances when $\pi \Gamma \rho \gg 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{S}^{c} \rightarrow \hat{a} \prod_{n}\left(\frac{\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{n}-i \Gamma_{n} / 2}{\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{n}+i \Gamma_{n} / 2}\right) \tag{84a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the averaged over energy cross section of the processes, going through the step of formation of compound nucleus $\left\langle\sigma^{c}{ }_{\alpha \beta}\right\rangle_{\Delta \varepsilon}$, is evidently proportional to $\left|a_{\alpha \beta}\right|^{2}:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.<\sigma{ }_{\alpha \beta}{ }_{\alpha \beta}>\sim<\left|\mathrm{S}_{\alpha \beta}^{c_{\alpha \beta}}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{\Delta \varepsilon}=\left|\mathrm{a}_{\alpha \beta}\right|^{2} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

(here and below we continue to omit the indexes JSП). If the initial energy of bombarding particles is fixed and therefore the total energy $\varepsilon$ is also fixed (within $\Delta \varepsilon$ ), the cross section (85) can be re-written in the form

$$
\left.\left.\left.<\sigma^{\mathrm{c}}{ }_{\alpha \beta}\right\rangle_{\Delta \mathrm{E}} \sim<\left|\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{c}}{ }_{\alpha \beta}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{\Delta \varepsilon}=<\left|\mathrm{a}_{\alpha \beta}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{\Delta \varepsilon} \cong\left|\mathrm{a}_{\alpha \beta}\right|^{2},(85 \mathrm{a})
$$

where $\Delta E$ is defined by $\Delta \varepsilon$ and the energy resolution of the detector of final fragments.

From $[28,46]$ we can see that the averaged over energy time delay of compound nucleus and the variance of the time-delay-of-compound-nucleus distributions are defined by such general relations

$$
<\tau{ }_{\alpha \beta}{ }_{\alpha \beta}>=<\left|S^{c_{\alpha \beta}}\right|^{2} \hbar \partial \arg S^{c}{ }_{\alpha \beta} / \partial \mathrm{E}>/<\left|\mathrm{S}^{c_{\alpha \beta}}\right|^{2}>(86)
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& D \tau_{\alpha \beta}^{c}=\frac{\hbar^{2}<\left(\partial\left|S^{c}{ }_{\alpha \beta}\right|^{\prime} \partial E\right)^{2}>_{\Delta E}}{\left.\langle | S^{c}{ }_{\alpha \beta}\right|^{2}>_{\Delta E}}+ \\
& +\frac{\hbar^{2}<\left|S^{c}{ }_{\alpha \beta}\right|^{2}\left(\partial \arg S^{c}{ }_{\alpha \beta} / \partial E\right)^{2}>_{\Delta E}}{<\left|S^{c}{ }_{\alpha \beta}\right|^{2}>_{\Delta E}}-<\tau^{c}{ }_{\alpha \beta}>^{2}, \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively (energy $E$ is the kinetic energy of final fragment). For the quantities, averaged over energy, in the approximation of continuum ( $\sum_{n} \rightarrow \int \rho d \varepsilon$ ) we easily derive, utilizing [28,41,46], that the mean time delay, averaged over all channels, is equal

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\tau^{c}>=<\sum_{n} \frac{\hbar \Gamma_{n}}{\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{n}\right)^{2}+\Gamma_{n}^{2} / 4}>_{\Delta E}=2 \pi \hbar \rho . \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

And $D \tau^{c}{ }_{\alpha \beta}$ in the same continuum approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \tau^{c}{ }_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{\hbar^{2}<\left(\partial\left|a_{\alpha \beta}\right| / \partial E\right)^{2}>_{\Delta E}}{\left.\langle | a_{\alpha \beta}\right|^{2}>_{\Delta E}}, \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

if
and strongly overlapped resonances ( $\pi \Gamma \rho \gg 1$ or even $\pi$ $\Gamma \rho / N \gg 1$ ).

## 8. Connection of Analytic Properties of the $S$-matrix with Duration of the PartialWave Scattering and Orthodox Causality

Let us clarify how obtained results on analytic properties of the $S$-matrix agree with orthodox causality. Following [28], we define the mean duration of the $l$ -partial-wave scattering as the difference between mean time moments averaged over outgoing and ingoing wavepacker durations through the sphere surface with radius $r$ $\geq a$ according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\tau_{l}(\gamma, r)>=\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t t j_{l, \text { out }}}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t j_{l, \text { out }}}-\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t t j_{l, \text { in }}}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t j_{l, \text { in }}}, \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j_{l, \text { in }}$ and $j_{l, \text { out }}$ are the probability flux densities, corresponding to wave packets
$r \phi_{l, i n}(r, t)$
$=\int_{0}^{\infty} d k A(k)[(i / 2) \exp (i l \pi / 2)] f_{l-}(k, r) \exp (-i E t / \hbar)$
and
$r \phi_{l, \text { out }}(\gamma, r, t)$
$=\int_{0}^{\infty} d k A(k)\left[\begin{array}{l}(i / 2) \\ \exp (i l \pi / 2)\end{array}\right] f_{l+}(k, r) S_{l}(\gamma, k) \exp (-i E t / \hbar)$,
respectively.
Integrating over $d t$ in (94) with help of the simple technique of Fourier-Laplace transformations similarly to that it was made in [28], we obtain the following final expression:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle t_{l}(g, r)>=\right. \\
& \int_{0}^{\infty} d k\left|A(k) S_{l}(\gamma, k) f_{l+}(k, r)\right|^{2} \hbar\left(\partial \arg A S_{l} f_{l+} / \partial E\right) \\
& -\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} d k\left|A S_{l} f_{l+}\right|^{2}}{\int_{0}^{\infty} d k\left|A(k) f_{l-}(k, r)\right|^{2} \hbar\left(\partial \arg A f_{l-} / \partial E\right)} \\
& \left.\int_{0}^{\infty} d f_{l-}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that, unlike the physical radial wave packet

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{l}^{(+)}(\gamma, r, t)=\phi_{l, \text { in }}(r, t)-\phi_{l, o u t}(\gamma, r, t) \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is finite at the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$, functions $f_{l \pm}(k, r)$ have the pole of the $l$-the order, and so for the finiteness of wave packets (94a) and (96) it is necessary that wavepacket amplitudes $A(k)$ would have zero in point $k=0$, at
least of the l-the order, or would be zero in the finite interval ( $0, \kappa$ ), $\kappa>0$. With such limitations for $A(k)$, it is natural to try clear up, at what conditions the orthodox causality is fulfilled, if one formulate it thus: for any square integrable function $A(k)$, with the only abovementioned limitation, the mean duration $<\tau(\gamma, r)>$ of the l-partial-wave scattering for sufficiently large $r \geq a$ cannot be negative, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\tau_{1}(\gamma, r)>\geq 0 \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following [28], it is not difficult to check that in the case of unitary $S_{l}(\gamma, k)$ for the fulfillment of the condition (97) it is necessary and sufficient that eq.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{l}(\gamma, r)=\hbar \frac{\partial \arg S_{l}(\gamma, k)\left[f_{l+}(k, r) / f_{l-}(k, r)\right]}{\partial E} \geq 0 \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

were fulfilled. Really, in this case according to (94a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <t_{l}(g, r)> \\
& =\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} d k\left|A(k) f_{l+}(k, r)\right|^{2} \tau_{l}(\gamma, r)\right] /\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} d k\left|A(k) f_{l+}(k, r)\right|^{2}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and also in view of non-negative values of $k$ and $\left|A(k) f_{l+}(k, r)\right|^{2}$ the validity of (98) follows directly and necessarily from (97). And inversely, if one assumes the validity of (96), but in the vicinity of a certain point $k_{0}$ the relation $\tau_{l}(\gamma, r)<0$ is valid for $r \geq a$, then, choosing $A(k)$ identically equal 0 out of this vicinity, one will violate the condition (96) which contradicts the initial assumption and therefore proves the sufficiency of our theorem.

Let study firstly the validity of the condition (97) in the case when out of the interaction sphere there is only the centrifugal tail. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{l}(g, r)=\hbar \frac{\partial \arg S_{l}(\gamma, k)\left[h_{l}^{(1)}(k, r) / h_{l}^{(2)}(k, r)\right]}{\partial E}  \tag{98a}\\
& =\hbar \frac{\partial \arg S_{l}}{\partial E}+\frac{2 r / v}{\left[k r j_{l}(k r)\right]^{2}+\left[k r n_{l}(k r)\right]^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\left[d n_{l}(x) / d k\right] j_{l}(x)-\left[d j_{l}(x) / d x\right] n_{l}(x)=x^{-2}$. Here $v=\hbar k / \mu$. Utilizing (66) for calculation of $\frac{\partial \arg S_{l}}{\partial E}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hbar \frac{\partial \arg S_{l}}{\partial E}=-\frac{2 \alpha}{v}+\frac{1}{v} \sum_{\lambda} \frac{2 \chi_{\lambda}}{k^{2}+\chi_{\lambda}^{2}} \\
& +\frac{1}{v} \sum_{s} \frac{4 \operatorname{Im} k_{s}\left(k^{2}+\left|k_{s}\right|^{2}\right.}{\left(\left|k_{s}\right|^{2}-k^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(2 k \operatorname{Im} k_{s}\right)^{2}}, \tag{99}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\chi_{\lambda}=-i k_{\lambda}$. Since $\alpha \leq a$, the sum $\sum_{s}$ is always positive, the quantity $\left[k r j_{l}(k r)\right]^{2}+\left[k r n_{l}(k r)\right]^{2}$ is finite when $k>0$ and $r>a$ and tends to 1 when $r \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$
\sum_{s} \frac{2 \chi_{\lambda}}{k^{2}+\chi_{\lambda}^{2}} \geq \frac{2}{\chi_{1}}
$$

when $\chi_{1}$ corresponds to the first bound state (we remind that there is at least one pole, corresponding to zero on the
positive imaginary semi-axis between every two adjacent zeros $k_{\lambda}$, located in the order of increasing $\left|k_{\lambda}\right|$ on the negative imaginary semi-axis), then the condition (106) is fulfilled for sufficiently large values of $r$ when

$$
\frac{r}{\left[k r j_{l}(k r)\right]^{2}+\left[k r n_{l}(k r)\right]^{2}} \geq a+\frac{1}{\chi_{1}} .
$$

Inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{0}(\gamma, k, r) \geq 0 \tag{100a}
\end{equation*}
$$

(in the case when out of the interaction sphere there is only the centrifugal tail) for $l=0$ and $r \geq a+1 / \chi_{1}$ is concordant with the Goebel-Carplus-Ruderman inequality (see, for instance [39]). For $l \neq 0, k \neq 0$ and $r \geq a$ the quantity $Q_{l}=\left\{\left[k r j_{l}(k r)\right]^{2}+\left[k r n_{l}(k r)\right]^{2}\right\}^{-1}$ is positive, finite, tends to 0 as ( $k r)^{l}$ when $k r \rightarrow 0$, and monotonically grows, approaching to 1 , with increasing $k r$. And in this last case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{l}(\gamma, k, r) \geq 0 \text { for } r \geq R_{l}(k), \tag{100b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{l}(k)$ is the largest real solution of equation $r Q_{l}(k r)$ $=a+1 / \chi_{11}$.

Let consider what contribution for the time delay (99) would give every separate factor of representation of the type (66) for $S_{l}(\gamma, k)$. The factor $\exp \left[-i 2 \alpha_{l} k\right]$, which is typical for the hard repulsive barrier of radius $\alpha_{1}$, causes the negative time delay $-\alpha_{l} / v$. The factor $\frac{1+k / k_{n l}}{1-k / k_{n l}}$ with $\chi_{n l}=k_{n l} / i>0$, correspondent to a bound state, causes the negative time delay $-2 \chi_{n l} / v\left[k^{2}+\chi_{n l}{ }^{2}\right]$. The similar negative time delay will be caused by the factor with "redundant" pole. The factor $\frac{1+k / k_{m l}}{1-k / k_{m l}}$ with $\chi_{m l}=$ $k_{m l} / i<0$, correspondent to a virtual (anti-bound) state, causes the positive time delay. For small $k(k \rightarrow 0)$ the both formulas (for bound and anti-bound states) are the particular cases of the following expression for time delay $-A / v\left[1+k^{2} A^{2}\right]$, where $A$ is the scattering length. The factor $\frac{\left(1+k / k_{s}\right)\left(1-k / k_{s}^{\bullet}\right)}{\left(1-k / k_{s}\right)\left(1+k / k_{s}^{\bullet}\right)}$ with $\operatorname{Im} k_{s}>0$, correspondent to a resonance state, causes the positive timer delay $\frac{1}{v} \cdot \frac{4 \operatorname{Im} k_{s}\left(k^{2}+\left|k_{s}\right|^{2}\right.}{\left(\left|k_{s}\right|^{2}-k^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(2 k \operatorname{Im} k_{s}\right)^{2}}$. For the same every factor the signs of the correspondent scattering $l$-th partial time delays will be the same, differing from the studied here time delays of the l-partial-wave scattering twice less in absolute value.

In the more general case when at the external region $r>$ $a$, besides the centrifugal barrier, there is a potential, decreasing more rapidly than any exponential function,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{l}=\hbar \frac{\partial \arg S_{l}(\gamma, k)\left[f_{l+}(k, r) / f_{l-}(k, r)\right]}{\partial E} \\
& =\hbar \frac{\partial \arg S_{l}}{\partial E}+\frac{2 r}{v}+\frac{2}{v} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial \phi_{l+} / \partial k}{\phi_{l)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\phi_{l+}(k, r)=\exp (-i k r) f_{l+}(k, r)$.

Taking into account that the expression $2 \operatorname{Re}$ $i \frac{\partial \phi_{l+} / \partial k}{\phi_{l+}}$ tends to 0 when $r \rightarrow \infty$, and utilizing the result (108), one can easy to show that also in this case the inequality (100b) is valid for sufficiently large values of $r$.

Finally, in the case when $f_{l-}(k, r)$ has in $D^{+}$ singularities of the type (22) for the potentials with the exponential law of decreasing, it is convenient to introduce the function $\tilde{S}_{l}(\gamma, k, r)=S_{l}(\gamma, k) \frac{\phi_{l+}(k, r)}{\phi_{l-}(k, r)}$ instead of $S_{l}(\gamma, k)$. Then, rewriting equations (18) and (19) in the forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (-1)^{l} \oint_{k_{n l}} \tilde{S}_{l}\left(\gamma, k, r^{\prime}\right) \frac{\phi_{l-}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right)}{\phi_{l+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right)} f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l-}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right) d k  \tag{18a}\\
& =\left(B_{n l}\right)^{2} f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l+}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right) \\
& (-1)^{l} \oint_{k_{m}} \tilde{S}_{l}\left(\gamma, k, r^{\prime}\right) \phi_{l-}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right) \exp \left(i k r^{\prime}\right) f_{l+}(k, r) d k  \tag{19c}\\
& =\oint_{k_{m}} f_{l+}(k, r) f_{l-}\left(k, r^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

one can easily conclude that the function $\tilde{S}_{l}(\gamma, k, r)$ has the poles of the first order on the upper imaginary semiaxis which correspond to the bound states with the residues

$$
(-1)^{l+1} i \frac{\left(B_{n l}\right)^{2}}{2 \pi} \frac{\phi_{l+}\left(k_{n l}, r^{\prime}\right)}{\phi_{l-}\left(k_{n l}, r^{\prime}\right)}
$$

and, unlike $S_{l}(\gamma, k, r)$, it has no "redundant" poles. If one chooses the sufficiently large finite values of $r^{\prime}$ for which at the fixed $l$ the relation $\frac{\phi_{l+}\left(k_{n l}, r^{\prime}\right)}{\phi_{l-}\left(k_{n l}, r^{\prime}\right)}$ will have the same sign independently from $k_{n l}$ (it can be always obtained, because $\phi_{l \pm}\left(k_{n l}, r\right) \rightarrow 1$ when $r \rightarrow \infty$, if $k_{n l}$ does not coincide with "redundant" pole; but if such coincidence takes place, the correspondent residue will be 0 , since the correspondent pole vanishes!). Then the direct calculation of the quantity

$$
\tau_{l}(\gamma, r)=\hbar \frac{\partial \arg \tilde{S}_{l}(\gamma, k, r)}{\partial E}+\frac{2 r}{v}
$$

will show the validity of (100b) for sufficiently large $r$ also in this case.

The same procedure (8a)-(9c) etc can be repeated also for the case of the presence in the external region $r>a$ of the potential tail of the Yukawa type because of the coincidence of the logarithmic divergence at points $k_{\gamma}=i b / 2$ of the factor $F(k)$ in the expression (40a) for $S_{l}(\gamma, k, r)$ and of the term $\left[1+\frac{i \rho}{2 k} \ln \left(1+\frac{2 i k}{b}\right)\right]^{-1}$ in the expression (24a) for the function $f_{l-}(k, r)$ and hence its vanishing in $\tilde{S}_{l}(\gamma, k, r)$.

The case with the non-unitary $S_{l}(\gamma, k, r)$ appears to be somewhat more complicated. Let rewrite (94a) in the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& <t_{l}(g, r)>=<\hbar \frac{\partial \arg S_{l}(\gamma, k) f_{l+}(k, r)}{\partial E}>_{1} \\
& +<\hbar \frac{\partial \arg f_{l-}(k, r)}{\partial E}>_{2}+<\hbar \frac{\partial \arg A(k)}{\partial E}>_{1}  \tag{94b}\\
& -<\hbar \frac{\partial \arg A(k)}{\partial E}>_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $<>_{1}$ and $<>_{2}$ signify average in the momentum space with the weights $\left|A S_{l} f_{l+}\right|^{2}$ and $\left|A f_{l-}\right|^{2}$, relatively, then choose without the limitation of the generality such $A(k)$ in order that the quantity $\hbar \partial \arg A / \partial E$ would be limited (such choice of $A(k)$ does physically signify that the mean time moment of the incoming-wave entrance into the sphere of radius $r$ around the scatterer, which is equal to - $\left(\left[v\left|f_{l-}\right|^{2}\right]^{-1}>_{1}+\left\langle\hbar \partial \arg A / \partial E>_{1}\right.\right.$, would be finite). Then, since two last terms in (94b) are finite, and the quantities $<\hbar \partial \arg f_{l \pm} / \partial E>_{1,2}$ are positive and proportional to $r$ for sufficiently large $r$, one can affirm that $\left\langle\tau_{l}(\gamma, r)\right\rangle \geq 0$ at least at the range $r \gg a$.

Thus, the completeness condition of the type (11) together with the conditions of symmetry and generalized unitarity of $S_{l}(\gamma, k)$ guarantee the fulfillment of the orthodox causality (100b) for sufficiently large values of $r$ but, in general, do not ensure the fulfillment of the microcausality for $r \geq a$ (mainly because of the influence of the centrifugal barrier and partially because of the distortion of the wave-packet form during scattering).

In the case of non-central or parity-violating interactions the relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hbar \frac{\partial \arg S_{l^{\prime}}^{j}}{\partial E}=\frac{2}{v}\left[-\alpha-\sum_{n} \frac{2 \operatorname{Im} k_{n j}\left(k^{2}+\left|k_{n j}\right|^{2}\right.}{\left[\left.k_{n j}\right|^{2}-k^{2}\right]^{2}+\left[2 k \operatorname{Im} k_{n j}\right]^{2}}\right. \\
& -\sum_{m} \frac{\chi_{m}}{k^{2}+\chi_{m}^{2}}+\sum_{p} \frac{\chi_{p}}{k^{2}+\chi_{p}^{2}}  \tag{101}\\
& +\sum_{t, t^{\prime}} \frac{\operatorname{Im} k_{t, t^{\prime}}}{\left(\operatorname{Re} k_{t, t^{\prime}}-k\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Im} k_{t, t^{\prime}}\right)^{2}} \\
& \left.-\sum_{s, s^{\prime}} \frac{\operatorname{Im} k_{s, s^{\prime}}}{\left(\operatorname{Re} k_{s, s^{\prime}}-k\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Im} k_{s, s^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

must be valid instead of (100b) for $S_{l^{\prime} l}^{j}$. Here Im $k_{n j}>0$, $\chi_{m}=-i k_{m}<0$, Im $k_{s, s^{\prime}}<0$, and $\chi_{p}$, Im $k_{t, t^{\prime}}$ can be not only positive but also negative and, moreover, the numbers of points with $\chi_{p}$, $\operatorname{Im} k_{t}$, $\operatorname{Im} k_{t^{\prime}}$ can be infinite. Therefore, a causality condition like (100a) and (100b) demands certain restrictions for the topology of zeros and poles of $S_{l^{\prime} l}^{j}$, namely

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{m} \frac{\chi_{m}}{k^{2}+\chi_{m}^{2}}+\sum_{p} \frac{\chi_{p}}{k^{2}+\chi_{p}^{2}} \\
& +\sum_{t, t^{\prime}} \frac{\operatorname{Im} k_{t, t^{\prime}}}{\left(\operatorname{Re} k_{t, t^{\prime}}-k\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Im} k_{t, t^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}  \tag{102}\\
& -\sum_{s, s^{\prime}} \frac{\operatorname{Im} k_{s, s^{\prime}}}{\left(\operatorname{Re} k_{s, s^{\prime}}-k\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Im} k_{s, s^{\prime}}\right)^{2}} \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

## 9. Conclusions and Perspectives

In the presented review there are the results of the almost complete study of the non-relativistic S-matrix analytic structure for unknown central, non-central (tensor) and parity-violating T-invariant interactions, linear or nonlinear, with unknown physical dynamics and kinetics, with possible absorption and/or generation of bombarding particles inside sphere of small radius $r \leq a$, surrounded in the external range ( $a<r<\infty$ ) by centrifugal barrier with possible presence of decreasing (more rapidly than any exponential function, or according to the exponential law, or the Yukawa law etc) potential tails for one-channel and discrete-many-channel scattering. This study was based on some general mathematical assumptions like the possibility of the S-matrix analytic continuation into the regions of complex values of particle wave numbers or kinetic energies and the completeness conditions for external wave functions and on the physical principles like the causality and some kinds of the symmetry for the $S$ matrix.

It is rather curious how the results of a research, based on the well-known cognitive principle "with the least number of assumptions to obtain the most number of results of rather general physical and mathematical character", can also help to reveal some concrete physical phenomena and effects: (a) the enhancement phenomena caused by parity violations, indicated in Appendix V; (b) the phenomena of time resonances (explosions), formed from the strongly overlapping energy resonances of highenergy many-channel nuclear reactions.

It follows from all totality of the presented results an interesting perspective of future investigations - a research program of concrete tasks, problems and then the continuation, extension and application of the rigorous study of the analytic properties of the S-matrix on the base of general physical principles and general mathematic assumptions together with search of the observable physical manifestations of microscopic quantum collisions:
(1) Between remained important tasks it is possible to propose (a) the study of enhancement phenomena caused by violations of T -invariance, quite similarly to enhancement phenomena caused by parity violations; (b) the study of the S-matrix analytic structure for unknown interactions, enclosed by a centrifugal barrier and a screened Coulomb barrier (the last one is namely the Yukawa-potential type, differing from the Yukawa potential by the positive sign (repulsion instead of attraction) and by the scale.
(2) As an interesting continuation of the presented approach there is remained open a way for the study of other types of many-channel collisions (for instance, collisions with rearrangement of colliding systems, with multiple generation of particles, chain reactions etc), the classes of T -violating interactions, including the interactions with microscopic quantum dissipation (quantum friction), various relativistic collisions, collisions at the presence of external fields, scattering with accompanied processes like bremsstrahlung etc).
(3) And it is appeared a somewhat unexpected perspective - how the rigorous mathematical method or approach can help to reveal the physical phenomena and effects (enhancement phenomena caused by parity
violations in section or may be by T-invariance violations and time resonances in section 8 ).
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