

Common Fixed Point Theorem in Cone Metric Spaces

K. Prudhvi*

Department of Mathematics, University College of Science, Saifabad, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Telangana State, India

*Corresponding author: prudhvikasani@rocketmail.com

Received February 22, 2015; Revised March 22, 2015; Accepted March 31, 2015

Abstract In this paper, we study the existence of coincidence points and a unique common fixed point theorem for three self-mappings in cone metric spaces, where the cone is not necessarily normal. This result extends and improves recent related results in the literature.

Keywords: coincidence point, cone metric space, common fixed point

Cite This Article: K. Prudhvi, "Common Fixed Point Theorem in Cone Metric Spaces." *American Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics*, vol. 3, no. 2 (2015): 68-70. doi: 10.12691/ajams-3-2-4.

1. Introduction

Huang and Zhang [5] generalized the concept of a metric space, replacing the set of real numbers by an ordered Banach space. They proved some fixed point theorems of contractive type mappings over cone metric spaces. Subsequently, many authors generalized their fixed point theorems to different types using with and without normality (see, e.g., [1,2,3,6,7,8]). Recently, Guangxing Song et.al. [4] obtained a new common fixed point theorems for two maps in cone metric spaces, and omitting the assumption of normality. In this paper, we proved a fixed point theorem for three maps in cone metric spaces. Our result extends and improves the results of [4].

We recall some definitions and properties of cone metric spaces due to Huang and Zhang [5].

Definition 1.1. Let E be a real Banach space and P a subset of E . The set P is called a cone if and only if:

- (a). P is closed, non –empty and $P \neq \{0\}$;
- (b). $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a, b \geq 0, x, y \in P$ implies $ax + by \in P$;
- (c). $x \in P$ and $-x \in P$ implies $x = 0$.

Definition 1.2. Let P be a cone in a Banach space E , define partial ordering ' \leq ' with respect to P by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. We shall write $x < y$ to indicate $x \leq y$ but $x \neq y$ while $x << y$ will stand for $y - x \in \text{Int } P$, where $\text{Int } P$ denotes the interior of the set P . This cone P is called an order cone.

Definition 1.3. Let E be a Banach space and $P \subset E$ be an order cone. The order cone P is called normal if there exists $L > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in E$,

$$0 \leq x \leq y \text{ implies } \|x\| \leq L \|y\|.$$

The least positive number L satisfying the above inequality is called the normal constant of P .

Definition 1.4. Let X be a nonempty set of E . Suppose that the map $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies:

- (d1). $0 \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;

(d2). $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;

(d3). $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a cone metric on X and (X, d) is called a cone metric space.

It is clear that the concept of a cone metric space is more general than that of a metric space.

Example 1.5. [5] Let $E = \mathbb{R}^2, P = \{(x, y) \in E \text{ such that } : x, y \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2, X = \mathbb{R}$ and

$$d: X \times X \rightarrow E \text{ such that } d(x, y) = (|x - y|, \alpha |x - y|),$$

where $\alpha \geq 0$ is a constant. Then (X, d) is a cone metric space.

Definition 1.6. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space. We say that $\{x_n\}$ is

(i) a Cauchy sequence if for every $c \in E$ with $c >> 0$, there is N such that for all

$$n, m > N, d(x_n, x_m) << c;$$

(ii) convergent sequence if for any $c >> 0$, there is a positive integer N such that for all $n > N, d(x_n, x) << c$, for some fixed x in X . We denote this $x_n \rightarrow x$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$).

The space (X, d) is called a complete cone metric space if every Cauchy sequence is convergent ([5]).

Definition 1.8. [1] For the mapping $f, g: X \rightarrow X$. If $w = fz = gz$ for some z in X , then z is called a coincidence point of f and g and w is called a point of coincidence of f and g .

Definition 1.7. Let $f, g: X \rightarrow X$. Then the pair (f, g) is said to be (IT)-commuting at $z \in X$ if $f(g(z)) = g(f(z))$ with $f(z) = g(z)$.

2. Main Result

In this section, we proved a fixed point theorem for three self-mappings in cone metric spaces and without assuming the normality.

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space and P an order cone and $f, g, h: X \rightarrow X$ be self-maps satisfying the following condition

$$d(fx, gy) \leq a_1d(hx, hy) + a_2(fx, hx) + a_3d(gy, hy) + a_4d(hx, gy) + a_5d(fx, hy) \tag{1}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_i \geq 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$) be constants ($a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4 + a_5 < 1$).

If $f(X) \cup g(X) \subseteq h(X)$ and $h(X)$ is a complete subspace of X . Then the maps f, g and h have a coincidence point p in X . Moreover if (f, h) and (g, h) are (IT)-Commuting at p , then f, g and h have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Suppose x_0 is an arbitrary point of X , and define the sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X

such that $y_{2n} = fx_{2n} = hx_{2n+1}$, and $y_{2n+1} = gx_{2n+1} = hx_{2n+2}$, for all $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

By (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &= d(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq a_1d(hx_{2n}, hx_{2n+1}) + a_2d(fx_{2n}, hx_{2n}) + \\ &\quad a_3d(gx_{2n+1}, hx_{2n+1}) + a_4d(hx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + a_5d(fx_{2n}, hx_{2n+1}), \\ &\leq a_1d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + a_2d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + \\ &\quad a_3d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + a_4d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + a_5d(y_{2n}, y_{2n}), \\ &\leq a_1d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + a_2d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_3d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + a_4[d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})], \\ &\leq (a_1 + a_2 + a_4)d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\ &\quad + (a_3 + a_4)d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), \\ 1 - (a_3 + a_4)d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &\leq (a_1 + a_2 + a_4)(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\ &\leq \frac{(a_1 + a_2 + a_4)}{1 - (a_3 + a_4)}d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}). \end{aligned}$$

Put, $k = \frac{(a_1 + a_2 + a_4)}{1 - (a_3 + a_4)} < 1$.

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq kd(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}). \tag{2}$$

Similarly it can be shown that

$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \leq kd(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}).$$

Therefore, for all n ,

$$d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq kd(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \dots \leq k^{n+1}d(y_0, y_1)$$

Now, for any $m > n$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_m) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + \dots + d(y_{m-1}, y_m), \\ &\leq (k^n + k^{n+1} + \dots + k^{m-1})d(y_1, y_0), \\ &\leq \frac{k^n}{1-k}d(y_1, y_0). \end{aligned}$$

Let $0 << c$ be given. Choose $\delta > 0$ such that $c + N_\delta(0) \subseteq P$, where $N_\delta(0) = \{x \in E : \|x\| < \delta\}$.

Also choose a natural number N_1 such that

$$\frac{k^n}{1-k}d(y_1, y_0) \in N_\delta(0), \text{ for all } n \geq N_1.$$

$$\text{Then } \frac{k^n}{1-k}d(y_1, y_0) << c, \text{ for all } n \geq N_1.$$

$$\text{Thus, } d(y_n, y_m) \leq \frac{k^n}{1-k}d(y_1, y_0) << c, \text{ for all } m > n.$$

Therefore, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $h(X)$ is complete, there exists q in $h(X)$ such that $h(p) = q$. We shall show that $hp = fp = gp$. Note that $d(hp, fp) = d(q, fp)$.

Let us estimate $d(hp, fp)$. We have by (1) and the triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} d(hp, fp) &\leq d(hp, hx_{2n}) + d(hx_{2n}, fp) \\ &= d(hp, hx_{2n}) + d(fp, gx_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq d(q, hx_{2n}) + a_1d(hp, hx_{2n-1}) + a_2d(fp, hp) \\ &\quad + a_3d(gx_{2n-1}, hx_{2n-1}) + a_4d(hp, gx_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_5d(fp, hx_{2n-1}), \\ &\leq d(q, hx_{2n}) + a_1d(q, hx_{2n-1}) + a_2d(fp, hp) \\ &\quad + a_3d(hx_{2n}, hx_{2n-1}) + a_4d(q, hx_{2n}) \\ &\quad + a_5d(fp, hx_{2n-1}), \\ &\leq d(q, hx_{2n}) + a_1d(q, hx_{2n-1}) + a_2d(fp, hp) \\ &\quad + a_3[d(hx_{2n}, q) + d(q, hx_{2n-1})] \\ &\quad + a_4d(q, hx_{2n}) + a_5[d(fp, hp) + d(hp, hx_{2n-1})], \\ &\leq (1 + a_3 + a_4)d(q, hx_{2n}) + (a_2 + a_5)d(fp, hp) \\ &\quad + (a_1 + a_3 + a_5)d(q, hx_{2n-1}). \\ 1 - (a_2 + a_5)d(hp, fp) &\leq (1 + a_3 + a_4)d(q, hx_{2n}) \\ &\quad + (a_1 + a_3 + a_5)d(q, hx_{2n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(hp, fp) &\leq \frac{a_1 + a_3 + a_4}{a_1 - a_2 - a_5}d(q, hx_{2n}) \\ &\quad + \frac{a_1 + a_3 + a_5}{a_1 - a_2 - a_3}d(q, hx_{2n-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Suppose $0 << c$ and there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d(q, hx_{2n}) << \frac{c}{2} \frac{a_1 - a_2 - a_3}{a_1 + a_3 + a_5}. \tag{4}$$

and

$$d(q, hx_{2n-1}) << \frac{c}{2} \frac{a_1 - a_2 - a_3}{a_1 + a_3 + a_5} \text{ for all } n \geq n_0. \tag{5}$$

From (4), (5) and (3) it follows that

$$d(hp, fp) << \frac{c}{2} + \frac{c}{2} = c.$$

And hence, $d(\text{hp}, \text{fp}) < \frac{c}{r}$ for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since, $\frac{c}{r} - d(\text{hp}, \text{fp}) \in \text{int } P$, and P is closed, then as $r \rightarrow \infty$ we have that $-d(\text{hp}, \text{fp}) \in P$. Since $d(\text{hp}, \text{fp}) > 0$, therefore $d(\text{hp}, \text{fp}) \in P$ and so $d(\text{hp}, \text{fp}) \in P \cap (-P) = \{0\}$.

$$\Rightarrow d(\text{hp}, \text{fp}) = 0.$$

Hence, $d(\text{hp}, \text{fp}) = 0$.

Similarly, we can show that $\text{hp} = \text{gp}$.

Thus, $q = \text{hp} = \text{fp} = \text{gp}$ and hence p is a point of coincidence point of f, g and h . (6)

Since, $(f, h), (g, h)$ are (IT)-Commuting at p . We get by (6) and (1)

$$\begin{aligned} d(\text{ffp}, \text{fp}) &= d(\text{ffp}, \text{gp}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(\text{hfp}, \text{hp}) + a_2 d(\text{ffp}, \text{hfp}) \\ &\quad + a_3 d(\text{gp}, \text{hp}) + a_4 d(\text{hfp}, \text{gp}) \\ &\quad + a_5 d(\text{ffp}, \text{hp}), \\ &\leq a_1 d(\text{ffp}, \text{fp}) + a_2 d(\text{ffp}, \text{ffp}) \\ &\quad + a_3 d(\text{hp}, \text{hp}) + a_4 d(\text{ffp}, \text{fp}) + a_5 d(\text{ffp}, \text{fp}), \\ &\leq (a_1 + a_4 + a_5) d(\text{ffp}, \text{fp}), \\ &< d(\text{ffp}, \text{fp}), \end{aligned}$$

since $a_1 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$, a contradiction.

Therefore, $\text{ffp} = \text{fp}$. $\text{fp} = \text{ffp} = \text{fhp} = \text{hfp}$.

$$\Rightarrow \text{ffp} = \text{hfp} = \text{fp} (= q).$$

Therefore, $\text{fp} (= q)$ is a common fixed point of f and h . (7)

Similarly, we can get that

$$\text{gp} = \text{ggp} = \text{ghp} = \text{hgp}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ggp} = \text{hgp} = \text{gp} = q.$$

Therefore, $\text{gp} (= q)$ is a common fixed point of g and h . (8)

Since, $\text{fp} = \text{gp} = q$.

Therefore from (7) and (8) it follows that f, g , and h have a common fixed point namely q .

Uniqueness: Let q_1 is another fixed point of f, g and h , then

$$\begin{aligned} d(q, q_1) &= d(\text{fq}, \text{gq}_1) \\ &\leq a_1 d(\text{hq}, \text{hq}_1) + a_2 d(\text{fq}, \text{hq}) \\ &\quad + a_3 d(\text{gq}_1, \text{hq}_1) + a_4 d(\text{hq}, \text{gq}_1) \\ &\quad + a_5 d(\text{fq}, \text{hq}_1), \\ &\leq a_1 d(q, q_1) + a_2 d(q, q) + a_3 d(q_1, q_1) \\ &\quad + a_4 d(q, q_1) + a_5 d(q, q_1), \\ &\leq (a_1 + a_4 + a_5) d(q, q_1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $d(q, q_1) = 0$ and so, $q = q_1$.

Therefore, f, g , and h have a unique common fixed point.

Remark 2.2. If we choose $h = g$ and $g = f$ in the above Theorem 2.1, then we obtain the Theorem 2.1 of [4].

References

- [1] M. Abbas and G. Jungck, Common fixed point results for non commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 341(2008) 416-420.
- [2] M. Amari, D. El Moutawakil, Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 270(2002) 181-188.
- [3] M. Arshad, A. Azam, P. Vetro, Some common fixed point results on cone metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2009) 11, Article ID 493965.
- [4] Guangxing Song, Xiaoyan Sun, Yian Zhao, Guotao Wang, New common fixed point theorems for maps on cone metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 32(2010) 1033-1037.
- [5] L.G. Huang, X. Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 332(2) (2007) 1468-1476.
- [6] D. Ilic, V. Rakocevic, Common fixed points for maps on cone metric space, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 341(2008) 876-886.
- [7] S. Rezapour and Halbarani, Some notes on the paper cone metric spaces and fixed point theorem of contractive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 345(2008), 719-724.
- [8] B.E. Rhoades, A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 26(1977) 257-290.