Generalised Common Fixed Point Theorem for S -Compatible Mapping in Metric Space

R K Gujetiya¹, Dheeraj Kumari Mali^{2,*}, Mala Hakwadiya²

¹Department of Mathematics, Govt. P. G. College, Neemuch, India ²Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University Udaipur, Rajasthan, India *Corresponding author: dheerajmali46@gmail.com

Received June 23, 2014; Revised July 19, 2014; Accepted August 01, 2014

Abstract In this paper we prove a common fixed point theorem of eight self mappings satisfying a generalized inequality using the concept of compatibility.

Keywords: common fixed point, compatible mapping, complete metric space

Cite This Article: R K Gujetiya, Dheeraj Kumari Mali, and Mala Hakwadiya, "Generalised Common Fixed Point Theorem for *S* - Compatible Mapping in Metric Space." *Journal of Business and Management Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 4 (2014): 220-223. doi: 10.12691/ajams-2-4-8.

1. Introduction

A metric space is just a set X equipped with a function d of two variables which measures the distance between points: d(x, y) is the distance between two points x and y in X. It turns out that if we put mild and natural conditions on the function d, we can develop a general notion of distance that covers distances between number, vectors, sequences, functions, sets and much more. The first important result in the theory of fixed point of compatible mappings was obtained by Gerald Jungck in 1986 [4] as a generalization of commuting mappings. In 1993 Jungck, Murthy and Cho [5] introduced the concept of compatible mappings of type (A) by generalizing the definition of weakly uniformly contraction maps. Pathak and Khan [2] introduced the concept of A-compatible and S-compatible by splitting the definition of compatible mappings of type (A). Fixed point results of compatible mappings are found in [1-8]. Shahidur Rahman [9] proved a common fixed point theorem for A-Compatible and S-Compatible mapping. We will generalized the result of Shahidur Rahman [9].

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1: A metric space is given by a set X and a distance function $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

(i) (Positivity) For all $x, y \in X$, $0 \le d(x, y)$.

(ii) (Non-degenerated) For all $x, y \in X, 0 = d(x, y)$ implais that x = y.

(iii) (Symmetry) For all $x, y \in X, d(x, y) = d(y, x)$.

(iv) (Triangle inequality) For all $x, y, z \in X$,

$$d(x, y) \le d(x, z) + d(z, y)$$

Definition 2.2: [4] Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space X into itself. The mappings A and S are said to be compatible if $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(ASx_n, SAx_n) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

Definition 2.3: [5] Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space X into itself. The mappings A and S are said to be compatible of type (A) if $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(ASx_n, SSx_n) = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(SAx_n, AAx_n) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that for $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

Definition 2.4: [7] Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space X into itself. The mappings A and S are said to be S-compatible if $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(SAx_n, AAx_n) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that for $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

Proposition 2.5: [2] Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. If a pair (A, S) is S-compatible on X and $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = t$ for $t \in X$, then $AAx_n \to St$ if S is continuous at t.

3. Main Result

Lemma: Let A, B, S, T, D and V be self maps of a complete metric space (X,d)

satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $AB(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $BV(X) \subseteq S(X)$

 $(2) \left[d(ADx, BVx) \right]^2 \le a_1 d(ADx, Sx) d(BVy, Ty)$ $+a_2 d(BVy, Sx) d(ADx, Ty) + a_3 d(ADx, Sx) d(ADx, Ty)$ $+a_4 d(BVy, Ty) d(BVy, Sx) + a_5 d^2 (Sx, Ty)$ $Where, <math>a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$ and $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \ge 0$. (3) Let $x_0 \in X$ then by (1) there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $Tx_1 = ADx_0$ and for x_1 there exists $x_2 \in X$ such that $Sx_2 = BVx_1$ and so on. Continuing this process we define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

 $y_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+1} = ADx_{2n}$ and $y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = BVx_{2n-1}$. Then the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X.

By condition (2) and (3), we have

$$\begin{split} & [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})]^2 = [d(ADx_{2n}, BVx_{2n-1})]^2 \\ & \leq a_1 d (ADx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) d (BVx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ & + a_2 d (BVx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n}) d (ADx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ & + a_3 d (Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) d (ADx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ & + a_4 d (BVx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) d (BVx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n}) \\ & + a_5 d^2 (Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}) \end{split}$$

$$[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})]^{2} \leq a_{1}d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + a_{2}d(y_{2n}, y_{2n})d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}) + a_{3}d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}) + a_{4}d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})d + a_{5}d^{2}(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})$$

$$[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})]^{2} \leq a_{1}d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + a_{3}d^{2}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + a_{3}d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + a_{5}d^{2}(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})$$

$$(1-a_3) \left[\frac{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})}{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})} \right]^2$$

$$\leq (a_1 + a_3) \left[\frac{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})}{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})} \right] + a_5$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda^2 - \lambda B - c \leq 0.$$

Where $\lambda = \frac{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})}{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})}$ $B = \frac{a_1 + a_3}{1 - a_3}$

$$C = \frac{a_5}{1 - a_2}.$$

Since $a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$ and $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \ge 0$.

In order to satisfy the inequation, one value of λ will be positive and the other will be negative. We also note that the sum and product of the two values of λ is less than 1 and -1 respectively. Neglecting the negative value, we

have
$$\frac{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})}{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})} < p$$
 where $0 . $d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) < pd(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}).$$

Hence $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence.

Now we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1: Let A, B, S, T, D and V be self maps of a complete metric space (X,d) satisfying the following conditions:

(1) AB(X)
$$\subseteq$$
 T(X) and BV(X) \subseteq S(X)
(2) $\left[d(ADx, BVx)\right]^2 \le a_1 d(ADx, Sx) d(BVy, Ty)$
 $+a_2 d(BVy, Sx) d(ADx, Ty) + a_3 d(ADx, Sx) d(ADx, Ty)$
 $a_4 d(BVy, Ty) d(BVy, Sx) + a_5 d^2(Sx, Ty)$
Where, $a_1 + a_2 + 2a_2 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$ and $a_1, a_2, a_2, a_4, a_5 \ge 0$.

(3) one of AD, BV, S or T is continuous.

(4) [AD,S] and [BV,T] are S-compatible mapping on X. (5) Let $x_0 \in X$ then by (1) there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $Tx_1 = ADx_0$ and for x_1 there exists $x_2 \in X$ such that $Sx_2 = BVx_1$ and so on. Continuing this process we define

$$y_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+1} = ADx_{2n}$$

and $y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = BVx_{2n-1}$.

Then the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X.

Then A, D, B, V, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: By lemma, we have

a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

 $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, there exists a point $z \in X$ such that $\lim y_n = z$ as $n \to \infty$. Consequently subsequence ADx_{2n} , Sx_{2n} , BVx_{2n-1} and Tx_{2n+1} converges to z.

Let S be a continuous mapping, since AD and S are Scompatible mapping on X. Then by proposition 2.5 we have $(AD)Ax_{2n} \rightarrow Sz$ and $SAx_{2n} \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now by condition (2) of lemma, we have

$$d^{2} [ADx_{2n}, BVx_{2n-1}]$$

$$\leq a_{1}d (ADAx_{2n}, SAx_{2n})d (BVx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1})$$

$$+a_{2}d (BVx_{2n-1}, SAx_{2n})d (ADAx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1})$$

$$+a_{3}d (ADAx_{2n}, SAx_{2n})d (ADAx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1})$$

$$+a_{4}d (BVx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1})d (BVx_{2n-1}, SAx_{2n})$$

$$+a_{5}d^{2} (SAx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1})$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} d(Sz,z) \end{bmatrix}^2 \le a_1 d(Sz,Sz) d(z,z) + a_2 d(z,Sz) d(Sz,z) + a_3 d(Sz,Sz) d(Sz,z) + a_4 d(z,z) d(z,Sz) + a_5 d^2(Sz,z) d^2(Sz,z) \le a_2 d(z,Sz) d(Sz,z) + a_5 d^2(Sz,z) d^2(Sz,z) \le (a_2 + a_5) [d(Sz,z)]^2$$

Which is a contradiction.

Hence Sz = z. Now by (2), we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} d(ADz, BVx_{2n-1}) \end{bmatrix}^{2} \\ \leq a_{1}d(ADz, Sz)d(BVx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ +a_{2}d(BVx_{2n-1}, Sz)d(ADz, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ +a_{3}d(ADz, Sz)d(ADz, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ +a_{4}d(BVx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1})d(BVx_{2n-1}, Sz) \\ +a_{5}d^{2}(Sz, Tx_{2n-1})$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} d(ADz,z) \end{bmatrix}^2 \leq a_1 d(ADz,z) d(z,z) + a_2 d(z,z) d(ADz,z) + a_3 d(ADz,z) d(ADz,z) + a_4 d(z,z) d(z,z) + a_5 d^2(z,z)$$

Hence ADz = z.

Now since by condition (1), $z \in T(X)$. Also T is self map of X so there exists a point $u \in X$ such that ADz = z = Tu. Moreover by condition (2) we obtain,

$$\begin{split} \left[d(z, BVu)\right]^2 &= \left[d\left(ADz, BVu\right)\right]^2 \\ &\leq a_1 d\left(ADz, Sz\right) d\left(BVu, Tu\right) \\ &+ a_2 d\left(BVu, Sz\right) d\left(ADz, Tu\right) \\ &+ a_3 d\left(ADz, Sz\right) d\left(ADz, Tu\right) \\ &+ a_4 d\left(BVu, Tu\right) d\left(BVu, Sz\right) \\ &+ a_5 d^2 (Sz, Tu) \\ \left[d\left(z, BVu\right)\right]^2 &\leq a_1 d\left(z, z\right) d\left(BVu, z\right) \\ &+ a_2 d\left(BVu, z\right) d\left(z, z\right) + a_3 d\left(z, z\right) d\left(z, z\right) \\ &+ a_4 d\left(BVu, z\right) d\left(BVu, z\right) + a_5 d^2 (z, z) \\ &\left[d\left(z, BVu\right)\right]^2 &\leq a_4 \left[d\left(z, BVu\right)\right]^2. \end{split}$$

Hence BVu = z i.e., z = BVu = Tu. By condition (4), we have d(TBVu, BVTu) = 0. Hence d(Tz, BVz) = 0 i.e., Tz = BVz. Now by condition (2), we have

$$\begin{split} &[d(z,Tz)]^{2} = \left[d\left(ADz,BVz\right) \right]^{2} \\ &[d(z,Tz)]^{2} \leq a_{1}d\left(ADz,Sz\right)d\left(BVz,Tz\right) \\ &+a_{2}d\left(BVz,Sz\right)d\left(ADz,Tz\right) + a_{3}d\left(ADz,Sz\right)d\left(ADz,Tz\right) \\ &a_{4}d\left(BVz,Tz\right)d\left(BVz,Sz\right) + a_{5}d^{2}(Sz,Tz) \\ &\left[d\left(z,Tz\right) \right]^{2} \\ &\leq a_{1}d\left(z,z\right)d\left(Tz,Tz\right) + a_{2}d\left(Tz,z\right)d\left(z,Tz\right) \\ &+a_{3}d\left(z,z\right)d\left(z,Tz\right) + a_{4}d\left(Tz,Tz\right)d\left(Tz,z\right) \\ &+a_{5}d^{2}(z,Tz) \\ &\left[d(z,Tz) \right]^{2} \leq a_{2}d(Tz,z)d(z,Tz) + a_{5}d^{2}(z,Tz) \\ &\left[d(z,Tz) \right]^{2} \leq (a_{2} + a_{5})[d(z,Tz)]^{2}. \end{split}$$

Which is a contradiction. Hence z = Tz i.e., z = Tz = BVz. Now putting x = Dz and $y = x_{2n-1}$ in (2),then we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} d (AD(Dz), BVx_{2n-1}) \end{bmatrix}^{2} \\ \leq a_{1}d (AD(Dz), S(Dz)) d (BVx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ +a_{2}d (BVx_{2n-1}, S(Dz)) d (AD(Dz), Tx_{2n-1}) \\ +a_{3}d (AD(Dz), S(Dz)) d (AD(Dz), Tx_{2n-1}) \\ +a_{4}d (BVx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) d (BVx_{2n-1}, S(Dz)) \\ +a_{5}d^{2} (S(Dz), Tx_{2n-1})$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} d(Dz,z) \end{bmatrix}^2$$

$$\leq a_1 d(Dz,Dz) d(z,z) + a_2 d(z,Dz) d(Dz,z) + a_3 d(Dz,Dz) d(Dz,z) + a_4 d(z,z) d(z,Dz) + a_5 d^2(z,Dz) \\ \begin{bmatrix} d(Dz,z) \end{bmatrix}^2 \leq (a_2 + a_5) \begin{bmatrix} d(Dz,z) \end{bmatrix}^2$$

Which is a contradiction.

Hence z = Dz. Since ADz = z which implies that Az = z.

Now putting $x = x_{2n}$ and y = Vz in (2), then we have

 $[d(ADx_{2n}, BV(Vz)]^{2} \leq a_{1}d(ADx_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(BV(Vz), T(Vz))$ $+a_{2}d(BV(Vz), Sx_{2n})d(ADx_{2n}, T(Vz))$ $+a_{3}d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(ADx_{2n}, T(Vz))$ $+a_{4}d(BV(Vz), T(Vz))d(BV(Vz), Sx_{2n})$ $+a_{5}d^{2}(Sx_{2n}, T(Vz))$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} d(z,Vz) \end{bmatrix}^2 \leq a_1 d(z,z) d(Vz,Vz) + a_2 d(Vz,z) d(z,Vz) + a_3 d(z,z) d(z,Vz) + a_4 d(Vz,Vz) d(Vz,z) + a_5 d^2(z,Vz) [d(z,Vz)]^2 \leq a_2 d(Vz,z) d(z,Vz) + a_5 d^2(z,Vz) [d(z,Vz)]^2 \leq (a_2 + a_5) [d(z,Vz)]^2.$$

Which is a contradiction.

Hence z = Vz. Since BVz = z which implies that Bz = z. Therefore z is a common fixed point of A, D, B, V, S and T.

Uniqueness: Finally, to prove the uniqueness of z, suppose w be another common fixed point of A, D, B, V, S and T. Then we have,

$$\begin{split} & [d(z,w)]^2 = \left[d \left(ADz, BVw \right) \right]^2 \\ & \leq a_1 d \left(ADz, Sz \right) d \left(BVw, Tw \right) \\ & + a_2 d \left(BVw, Sz \right) d \left(ADz, Tw \right) \\ & + a_3 d \left(ADz, Sz \right) d \left(ADz, Tw \right) \\ & + a_4 d \left(BVw, Tw \right) d \left(BVw, Sz \right) + a_5 d^2 (Sz, Tw) \\ & \left[d \left(z, w \right) \right]^2 \\ & \leq a_1 d \left(z, z \right) d \left(w, w \right) + a_2 d \left(w, z \right) d \left(z, w \right) \\ & + a_3 d \left(z, z \right) d \left(z, w \right) + a_4 d \left(w, w \right) d \left(w, z \right) \\ & + a_5 d^2 \left(z, w \right) \\ & [d(z,w)]^2 \leq (a_2 + a_5) [d(z,w)]^2, \end{split}$$

Which is a contradiction. Hence z = w.

Thus z is a unique common fixed point of A, D, B, V, S and T.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented common fixed point theorem for six self mappings in metric spaces through concept of compatibility.

Acknowledgement

The Authors are thankful to the anonymous referees for his valuable suggestions for the improvement of this paper.

References

- Bijendra Singh and M.S. Chauhan, "On common fixed points of four mappings", *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 88, 451-456, 1996.
- [2] H.K. Pathak and M.S. Khan, "A comparison of various types of compatible maps and common fixed points", *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, 28(4), 477-485, April 1997.

- [3] H.K. Pathak, M.S. Khan and Reny George, "Compatible mappings of type (A-1) and type (A-2) and common fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces", *International Math. Forum*, 2(11), 515-524, 2007.
- [4] Jungck G., Compatible maps and common fixed points, Inter. J. Math. and Math. Sci. 9, 1986 771-779.
- [5] Jungck G., Murthy P.P. and Cho Y.J., "Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points", *Math. Japonica* 38, 381- 390, 1993.
- [6] Koireng M., Leenthoi N. and Rohen Y., "Common fixed points of compatible mappings of type (R)", *General Mathematics Notes*, 10(1), 58-62, May 2012.
- [7] P. P. Murthy, Remarks on fixed point theorem of Sharma and Sahu, Bull. of Pure and Appl. Sc., 12 E(1-2), 7-10, 1993.
- [8] Sharma B. K. and Sahu N. K., "Common fixed point of three continuous mappings", *The Math. Student*, 59 (1), 77-80, 1991.
- [9] Shahidur Rahman, Yumnam Rohen, M. Popeshwar Singh, "Generalised common fixed point theorem of A-Compatible and S-Compatible mappings". *American J. Appl. Math. And Statistics*, 1(2), 27-29, April 2013.